Psychological Distress, Mental Health, and Self-Harm in Workers' Compensation Claimants: A Continuing Education Manual for Licensed Psychologists and Graduate-Level Clinicians

---

Title Page

Psychological Distress, Mental Health, and Self-Harm in Workers' Compensation Claimants: A Continuing Education Manual for Licensed Psychologists and Graduate-Level Clinicians

A Continuing Education Reading Manual

Prepared for Licensed Psychologists, Clinical Practitioners, and Graduate-Level Mental Health Trainees

---

Abstract

Workers' compensation systems constitute the principal mechanism through which industrialized nations provide medical care, rehabilitation, and income replacement to individuals who sustain occupational injury or illness. Although these systems are designed to facilitate recovery and reintegration into the workforce, a growing body of scholarship demonstrates that engagement with compensation processes is frequently associated with adverse psychological consequences, including elevated rates of psychological distress, clinically significant depression and anxiety, and, in some populations, elevated risk of self-harm and suicide. This continuing education manual synthesizes contemporary empirical findings, most notably a large national cross-sectional survey of Australian workers' compensation claimants (Collie et al., 2020) and a systematic review of the international literature examining associations between workers' compensation and mental health and

self-harm outcomes (Wadhwa et al., 2024), to provide licensed psychologists and graduate-level clinicians with an integrated conceptual, empirical, and clinical framework for understanding the psychological experience of injured workers. The manuscript examines historical and theoretical foundations, conceptual models such as the Sherbrooke model of work disability, psychological mechanisms including procedural justice perceptions and financial stress, empirical prevalence data across musculoskeletal and mental health claim populations, and system-level factors that may exacerbate or mitigate psychological harm. Clinical implications are discussed, including the identification of at-risk claimants, gaps in mental health service utilization, and opportunities for early intervention. The manual concludes with an examination of research gaps and recommended directions for future inquiry. Throughout, attention is directed toward the psychologist's role in assessment, treatment, advocacy, and system-informed practice.

---

1. Introduction

Occupational injury and illness represent a persistent and substantial burden on individual workers, employers, healthcare systems, and society as a whole. In Australia between 2012 and 2013, more than 500,000 individuals sustained work-related injuries, with direct and indirect economic costs exceeding $60 billion (Wadhwa et al., 2024). In the United States, occupational injuries in 2021 resulted in an estimated total cost of $167 billion, while more than 136 million American jobs were covered by state or federal workers' compensation schemes in 2016, disbursing an estimated $61.9 billion in combined benefits, including $31.1 billion in medical benefits and $30.8 billion in wage replacement (Collie et al., 2020). In Australia in 2014–2015, the nation's nine major workers' compensation schemes funded $1.9 billion in healthcare and treatment for 242,000 injured workers and disbursed an additional $4.5 billion in wage replacement (Collie et al., 2020). These figures, while staggering, capture only the financial dimensions of what is fundamentally a human phenomenon: the disruption, distress, and often prolonged suffering of workers whose lives have been altered by injury or illness sustained in the course of employment.

For the licensed psychologist and the graduate-level clinician, workers' compensation claimants constitute a clinically important population that intersects multiple domains of professional practice, including trauma-informed care, health psychology, rehabilitation

psychology, forensic assessment, occupational psychology, and general clinical practice. The psychological sequelae of occupational injury are neither incidental nor limited to a small subset of severely injured workers. Rather, they appear to be prevalent across the spectrum of compensable conditions, including musculoskeletal disorders that would not, on their face, be expected to produce psychiatric morbidity. Depressive symptoms are common following workplace musculoskeletal disorders (MSD), and one prospective study of injured Canadian workers reported a 12-month cumulative incidence of depressive symptoms of 50% (Collie et al., 2020). These symptoms, moreover, are not merely reactive or transient; they are known to delay return to work, complicate recovery, and, in some cases, precipitate the onset of enduring mental illness (Collie et al., 2020).

The purpose of this manual is to provide licensed psychologists and advanced clinicians with a rigorous, integrated understanding of the psychological experience of workers' compensation claimants. The material synthesizes recent empirical work, examining prevalence data, predictors of distress, mental health service utilization patterns, and the emerging evidence base linking compensation engagement to suicidality and self-harm. In doing so, this manual invites clinicians to move beyond a purely reactive stance toward one that is proactive, systems-aware, and grounded in the recognition that the compensation process itself is an active variable in the recovery trajectory. Understanding this variable, and the mechanisms through which it operates, is essential for effective clinical intervention.

---

2. Historical and Theoretical Foundations

Workers' compensation systems arose in the late nineteenth and early twentieth centuries as no-fault insurance arrangements designed to compensate workers for injury sustained in the course of employment without requiring litigation against the employer. In exchange for guaranteed medical care and wage replacement, workers relinquished the right to sue their employers for negligence, an arrangement often described as the "grand bargain" of industrial democracies. The moral logic of the system was that injured workers should be made whole, or as whole as possible, and returned to productive employment through the provision of medical treatment, rehabilitation, and financial support during periods of incapacity (Collie et al., 2020; Wadhwa et al., 2024).

Historically, workers' compensation was oriented predominantly toward physical injury, and the systems that evolved reflected this orientation. Adjudication of claims, determination of impairment, and design of rehabilitation pathways were largely built around orthopedic and traumatic conditions with visible or medically measurable features. Psychological consequences of injury were, in this framework, largely peripheral. They were either treated as secondary manifestations of physical suffering or, in some jurisdictions, viewed with suspicion as potential markers of malingering or symptom magnification. This historical orientation continues to influence contemporary practice, and it partly explains why mental health consequences of physical injuries remain undertreated even in systems that nominally recognize them.

The theoretical evolution of the field has moved decisively away from a purely biomedical understanding of work disability toward a biopsychosocial framework. The Sherbrooke model of work disability, articulated originally by Loisel and colleagues and expanded in subsequent scholarship, represents a paradigmatic example of this shift. As applied in the design of the Australian National Return to Work Survey and referenced by Collie et al. (2020), the Sherbrooke model conceptualizes work disability as a phenomenon that emerges from the dynamic interaction of four domains: the worker (including demographic characteristics, physical and mental health, and personal beliefs), the workplace (including supervisor response, job demands, and return-to-work coordination), the workers' compensation scheme (including claim processes, dispute resolution, and interaction with case managers), and the healthcare system (including access to appropriate treatment, provider quality, and coordination of care). Within this framework, poor outcomes are not attributable to any single domain but rather to interactions across domains, and interventions must correspondingly operate at multiple levels.

The theoretical importance of this multi-domain framework cannot be overstated for the clinical psychologist working with injured workers. It compels the clinician to look beyond the individual patient's intrapsychic dynamics or coping resources and to examine the broader institutional and interpersonal context in which recovery is either supported or impeded. It also opens a conceptual space in which the compensation system itself becomes an object of clinical concern, rather than merely a background administrative apparatus.

A parallel theoretical development has been the increasing prominence of procedural justice theory in the understanding of injured worker experiences. Procedural justice refers to individuals' perceptions of the fairness of the processes through which decisions affecting

them are made, encompassing dimensions such as voice, transparency, consistency, respectful treatment, and accuracy of information. Research reviewed by both Collie et al. (2020) and Wadhwa et al. (2024) suggests that perceptions of procedural fairness are strongly associated with mental health outcomes among workers' compensation claimants, and that workers who perceive the process as fair recover more effectively than those who do not, even when controlling for injury severity and other confounders. This body of work draws heavily on the earlier organizational justice literature and has provided a robust theoretical scaffold for understanding why the compensation process itself, quite apart from the underlying injury, can be either therapeutic or iatrogenic.

Finally, the emergence of trauma-informed care as an organizing framework for health services has begun to influence conceptualizations of compensation processes. The recognition that adversarial, opaque, or protracted administrative processes can constitute a form of secondary traumatization for individuals already coping with injury has led some scholars and policymakers to advocate for reform of compensation systems along trauma-informed principles. Although this movement is not yet fully realized in most jurisdictions, its theoretical influence is increasingly evident in the empirical literature.

---

3. Conceptual Models and Mechanisms

To understand how workers' compensation involvement may contribute to psychological distress and adverse mental health outcomes, it is helpful to articulate several conceptual mechanisms through which the effect is theorized to operate. These mechanisms are not mutually exclusive; rather, they operate in concert and vary in salience across individual claimants.

3.1 The Stress-Recovery Interaction Model

At its most fundamental level, occupational injury represents a stressor of significant magnitude, involving pain, functional limitation, threat to livelihood, disruption of daily routine, and, in some cases, threat to identity and self-concept. Recovery from injury depends in part on the individual's ability to marshal cognitive, emotional, and social resources to manage this stressor. When the compensation process introduces additional stressors, whether through

delays, disputes, financial uncertainty, adversarial interactions, or perceived injustice, these secondary stressors compete with the individual's finite resources and impede recovery. Guest and Drummond, cited in Wadhwa et al. (2024), demonstrated that individuals receiving compensation for chronic back pain reported greater emotional distress and disability than those not receiving compensation, a finding that has been replicated across multiple studies. The mechanism proposed is that the compensation process functions as an ongoing stressor that potentiates the psychological impact of the underlying injury.

3.2 The Procedural Injustice Model

Building on procedural justice theory, this model holds that perceived unfairness in the claims process is itself a potent psychological stressor with direct implications for mental health. The findings reported by Collie et al. (2020) provide strong empirical support for this model: workers who reported stressful interactions with return-to-work coordinators, high concerns about their workplace's response to their claim, and stressful interactions with healthcare providers all demonstrated significantly elevated odds of psychological distress. In a related study cited by Wadhwa et al. (2024), Grant, O'Donnell, Spittal, and colleagues demonstrated that stressfulness of the compensation claim process predicted long-term recovery six years after physical injury, with elevated levels of anxiety and depressive symptoms and lower quality of life among those who found the process most stressful.

The mechanism here is theorized to operate through both direct emotional pathways, in which experiences of unfairness generate anger, helplessness, and demoralization, and through cognitive appraisal pathways, in which the perception of injustice reshapes the individual's understanding of their circumstances and their prospects for recovery. When claimants perceive themselves as being disbelieved, dismissed, or treated adversarially, the meaning they attach to their injury and to their post-injury life may shift in ways that undermine motivation, hope, and adherence to treatment.

3.3 The Financial Stress Pathway

Financial hardship is a well-documented and mechanistically robust predictor of psychological distress in general population samples, and its role in workers' compensation populations appears to be particularly potent. Collie et al. (2020) reported an adjusted odds ratio of 2.63 for the association between high financial stress and psychological distress in their national

sample, one of the largest effects observed. This finding is consistent with a broader literature demonstrating that financial precariousness undermines mental health through multiple pathways, including chronic activation of the stress response, reduced access to healthcare and social support, and existential distress regarding future security.

The compensation system's role in this pathway is complex. Nominally, the system exists to prevent financial hardship by providing wage replacement. In practice, however, delays in claim acceptance, disputes over benefit levels, reductions in payments as claims mature, and out-of-pocket expenditures for uncovered services can all generate substantial financial pressure (Wadhwa et al., 2024). Furthermore, Collie et al. (2020) found that workers whose main source of income was workers' compensation had significantly higher levels of psychological distress compared to those receiving wages and salaries, a finding that likely reflects both the practical financial constraints associated with benefit-based income and the psychological meaning of dependency on a compensation system.

3.4 The Occupational Identity Disruption Model

For many individuals, work is not merely a source of income but a central component of identity, social belonging, and daily structure. Injuries that displace workers from their employment, particularly for extended periods, disrupt these psychosocial functions and can produce a form of grief and identity destabilization that manifests as depression, anxiety, or existential distress. Collie et al. (2020) found that being off work was strongly associated with psychological distress (AOR = 1.54), and that among workers who were not working, 37.3% exhibited severe psychological distress, approximately nine times the national average for people of working age.

The mechanism here involves the loss of role, routine, and social connection that accompanies extended absence from work, compounded by uncertainty about the possibility of return. Where the injury results in permanent disability or requires vocational reorientation, this identity disruption may be prolonged and profound.

3.5 The System Complexity and Cognitive Burden Model

Workers' compensation systems are administratively complex, and navigating them typically requires engagement with unfamiliar terminology, procedural requirements, and institutional

actors. For an individual already contending with pain, functional limitation, and the emotional consequences of injury, the cognitive burden of claim navigation may be substantial. Collie et al. (2020) found that workers who required support to navigate the claims system had significantly higher odds of psychological distress (AOR = 1.29), a finding consistent with qualitative research documenting claimant experiences of confusion, exhaustion, and disempowerment in the face of bureaucratic complexity (Wadhwa et al., 2024).

Figure 1, conceptualized here in narrative form, would illustrate these mechanisms as concentric pathways converging on the individual claimant. The innermost circle represents the injury itself and its direct physical and psychological consequences. Surrounding this are the pathways of financial stress, occupational identity disruption, procedural injustice, cognitive burden of system navigation, and the stress-recovery interaction, each contributing to and modulated by the others. The outermost context represents the broader compensation system, workplace, healthcare system, and social environment that either buffer or amplify these effects.

---

4. Empirical Findings Across Studies

The empirical literature on psychological distress and mental health outcomes among workers' compensation claimants, while still developing, has produced a substantial body of findings that converge on several key observations. This section synthesizes these findings, drawing primarily on the national Australian survey reported by Collie and colleagues (2020) and the systematic review by Wadhwa and colleagues (2024), which together provide the most comprehensive contemporary picture of the phenomenon.

4.1 Prevalence of Psychological Distress

The prevalence of psychological distress among workers' compensation claimants is substantial and considerably exceeds that of the general working-age population. In the Australian National Return to Work Survey analyzed by Collie et al. (2020), 41.6% of workers with accepted claims for musculoskeletal disorders or mental health conditions demonstrated psychological distress as measured by the Kessler-6 scale, including 27.5% with moderate distress and 14.0% with severe distress. This severe distress rate is more than three times

the Australian national average of 4.0% for people of working age. The disparity becomes more striking in specific subpopulations: among claimants who were not currently working, 37.3% exhibited severe distress, and among those with claims for mental illness, 26.7% exhibited severe distress, representing rates approximately nine and six times the national average, respectively.

Perhaps most clinically important is the finding that among workers with musculoskeletal disorder claims, whose injuries are not primarily psychiatric in nature, 37.9% reported moderate or severe psychological distress within two years of their claim. This finding challenges the conceptual separation of physical and mental injury that continues to structure many compensation systems and clinical practices, and it strongly supports the recognition of comorbid psychological distress as a normative rather than exceptional feature of the post-injury experience.

4.2 Predictors of Psychological Distress

The predictors of psychological distress identified by Collie et al. (2020) span personal, injury-related, healthcare, workplace, and compensation-system domains. Table 1 (below) provides a synthesized summary of the strongest predictors and their adjusted odds ratios.

Table 1. Synthesized Summary of Predictors of Psychological Distress in Workers' Compensation Claimants

Predictor Domain	Specific Predictor	Adjusted Odds Ratio (95% CI)
Injury/Health	Low work ability with mental health condition	7.04 (3.67–13.51)
Injury/Health	Poor general health with mental health condition	6.72 (4.23–10.67)
Injury/Health	Diagnosed with both depression and anxiety (MSD)	3.94 (3.07–5.05)
Injury/Health	Low work ability (MSD)	3.12 (2.56–3.79)
Injury/Health	Poor general health (MSD)	2.41 (1.92–3.02)
Injury/Health	Physical pain in past week	1.91 (1.59–2.29)

Predictor Domain	Specific Predictor	Adjusted Odds Ratio (95% CI)
Financial	High financial stress	2.63 (2.23–3.11)
Financial	Workers' compensation as main income source	1.58 (1.19–2.11)
Compensation Process	High concerns about claim submission	2.31 (1.88–2.83)
Compensation Process	Required support to navigate claims system	1.29 (1.11–1.51)
Workplace	Stressful contact with return-to-work coordinator	1.55 (1.16–2.07)
Workplace	High job stressfulness	1.63 (1.33–2.00)
Healthcare	Stressful interactions with healthcare providers	2.16 (1.74–2.69)
Employment	Currently not working	1.54 (1.18–2.01)
Demographic	Age 18–35 years	1.43 (1.16–1.76)

Note. Adapted from findings reported by Collie, Sheehan, Lane, and Iles (2020).

Several observations emerge from this synthesis. First, injury-related variables, particularly the combination of poor general health and low work ability, are among the strongest predictors, a finding that underscores the mutually reinforcing nature of physical and psychological suffering. Second, financial stress emerges as a potent independent predictor, with an effect size comparable to or exceeding many injury-related variables. Third, compensation process variables, including concerns about claim submission and the need for support in navigating the system, contribute meaningfully to distress even after adjustment for injury-related and demographic factors. Fourth, interactions with actors within the compensation ecosystem, including return-to-work coordinators and healthcare providers, are consequential for psychological outcomes when characterized by stress or conflict.

4.3 Mental Health Service Utilization

A striking and clinically consequential finding of the Collie et al. (2020) study concerns the gap between mental health need and mental health service utilization among workers with

musculoskeletal disorder claims. Among workers with accepted mental health claims, 91.1% reported accessing specialist mental health services, and among those with moderate or severe psychological distress, 94.5% reported such access. However, among workers with musculoskeletal disorder claims and moderate psychological distress, only 20.5% reported accessing mental health services, and among those with severe psychological distress, only 42.3% did so. Overall, only 27.2% of workers with musculoskeletal disorders and moderate or severe psychological distress reported accessing mental health care.

This gap likely reflects multiple factors, including the structural limitation of compensation-funded treatment to the accepted condition, the lack of routine mental health screening in musculoskeletal claim populations, stigma associated with acknowledging psychological distress, and possible unfamiliarity among healthcare providers and case managers with the psychological consequences of physical injury (Collie et al., 2020). The factors associated with mental health service utilization among distressed musculoskeletal claimants included severe psychological distress (AOR = 2.06), being off work (AOR = 2.00), poor general health (AOR = 1.76), requiring support to navigate the claims system (AOR = 1.81), conflict with the claims organization (AOR = 1.53), high contact with the claims organization (AOR = 1.62), and stressful healthcare provider interactions (AOR = 1.58).

Notably, some of these predictors of service utilization overlap with predictors of distress itself, suggesting that mental health services may be engaged reactively when distress becomes severe or when system conflict escalates, rather than proactively as part of routine post-injury care.

4.4 Mental Health and Self-Harm Outcomes

The systematic review by Wadhwa and colleagues (2024) extends the picture by synthesizing findings from seven studies examining the relationship between workers' compensation and mental health or self-harm outcomes. Their review confirmed that most studies found evidence of an association between compensation involvement and adverse psychological outcomes, though the heterogeneity of study designs, populations, and comparators limited definitive causal inference.

The two studies examining suicidality produced particularly concerning findings. Applebaum and colleagues, cited in Wadhwa et al. (2024), reported that workers experiencing lost-time

injuries had elevated risk of suicide compared to those with medical-only claims, with hazard ratios of 1.72 (95% CI 1.23–2.40) for men and 1.92 (95% CI 1.21–3.06) for women. Fishbain and colleagues, also cited in Wadhwa et al. (2024), found that rehabilitation patients receiving workers' compensation had elevated relative risks of suicidal intent (RR = 2.64), having a suicide plan (RR = 5.95), and previous suicide attempts (RR = 2.88) compared to community non-patients, and that these risks were even higher among patients undergoing litigation.

Studies examining depression and anxiety more broadly reported similarly consistent patterns of elevated risk among compensation claimants. Kim, cited in Wadhwa et al. (2024), found in a longitudinal analysis of the U.S. Medical Expenditure Panel Survey that individuals receiving workers' compensation had 33% higher odds of developing depression, and that after controlling for covariates, occupational injury increased the risk of depression by 72% relative to uninjured workers. Hee and colleagues, cited in Wadhwa et al. (2024), reported that spinal disorder patients not receiving compensation had significantly higher mental health scores on the SF-36 than those receiving compensation.

Complementing these findings, King and colleagues, cited in Wadhwa et al. (2024), reported that workers' compensation claimants may be at greater risk of hospitalization due to self-harm than the general population, suggesting that the psychological consequences of compensation engagement may extend to acute crisis-level behavioral outcomes in some subpopulations.

---

5. Psychological Pathways and Stress Responses

Understanding the psychological pathways through which the workers' compensation experience contributes to distress requires attention to both the acute stress responses that emerge in the immediate post-injury period and the chronic stress responses that develop as claims processes unfold over months and years.

5.1 Acute Stress and the Post-Injury Period

In the days and weeks following an occupational injury, the worker faces a cascade of stressors that draw on multiple appraisal and coping systems simultaneously. Pain and

functional limitation demand immediate attention. Uncertainty about the nature and course of the injury generates anticipatory anxiety. The worker must engage with medical professionals, often unfamiliar, and communicate the circumstances and consequences of the injury. Simultaneously, the worker must interact with employer representatives and initiate a claim, a process that involves disclosure of personal and medical information to institutional actors whose interests may not align with the worker's own (Wadhwa et al., 2024).

For workers with pre-existing vulnerability to stress-related psychopathology, this acute period may catalyze the emergence of clinically significant symptoms. Grant and colleagues, referenced in Collie et al. (2020) and Wadhwa et al. (2024), demonstrated that individuals with heightened stress vulnerability may be particularly susceptible to adverse mental health effects of compensation engagement. Even among workers without pre-existing vulnerability, the acute period may set trajectories that shape long-term outcomes. Hepburn, Kelloway, and Franche, cited in Collie et al. (2020), demonstrated that early employer response to workplace injury and the reactions of workplace supervisors predict workers' perceptions of fairness, which in turn are associated with attitude toward return to work and mental health outcomes.

5.2 Chronic Stress and Sustained Compensation Engagement

For claims that extend beyond the acute period, chronic stress responses become clinically salient. Sustained activation of the stress response system, driven by ongoing financial uncertainty, adversarial interactions, repeated medical evaluations, and disrupted daily functioning, can produce dysregulation with wide-ranging physical and psychological consequences. The chronic stress response contributes to elevated risk for depression, anxiety, sleep disturbance, and somatic symptom amplification, and it may interact with pain systems to produce the chronic pain syndromes that are overrepresented among workers' compensation populations (Wadhwa et al., 2024).

Independent medical evaluations, a common feature of compensation systems used to adjudicate disputes about impairment, capacity, or causation, have been identified as particularly stressful. Kilgour and colleagues, cited in Collie et al. (2020), reported that psychological service provision in compensation contexts is particularly problematic due to the impact of complex insurance claim administration and adversarial dispute processes on the mental health of claimants. Workers may experience these evaluations as confrontational, disbelieving, or dismissive, with corresponding effects on their emotional state and their

engagement with the broader system.

5.3 Cognitive Appraisal and Meaning-Making

Beyond the physiological stress response, cognitive appraisal processes play a central role in shaping psychological outcomes. Workers who appraise their injury as fundamentally unjust, their employer's response as callous, or the compensation system as adversarial are likely to experience corresponding emotional consequences. Conversely, workers who appraise the situation as manageable, the system as supportive, and their prospects for recovery as favorable are more likely to sustain adaptive coping and positive mental health trajectories.

The meaning-making dimension of the post-injury experience is particularly important. For many workers, the injury raises fundamental questions about identity, purpose, and future. Workers whose sense of self has been tightly bound to their occupation may experience the injury as an existential threat, particularly if return to previous work is uncertain. Those who successfully reconstruct a coherent narrative that integrates the injury into a viable future are more likely to recover psychologically than those who remain stuck in narratives of loss, injustice, or futility (Wadhwa et al., 2024).

5.4 Interpersonal and Social Pathways

The interpersonal context of compensation engagement is also consequential. Workers' compensation claimants frequently report feelings of stigmatization, disbelief, and social isolation. Some experience family strain as economic pressure mounts and functional limitations impinge on domestic roles (Wadhwa et al., 2024). Social support, which is protective for mental health across virtually all populations, may be attenuated in the compensation context as the worker's social network is stressed by the demands of the situation or by the worker's own withdrawal.

Interactions with specific actors within the compensation ecosystem, including case managers, healthcare providers, employers, and legal representatives, can either buffer or exacerbate distress. Collie et al. (2020) documented significant associations between stressful interactions with return-to-work coordinators and healthcare providers on the one hand, and psychological distress on the other. Where these interactions are characterized by respect, transparency, and genuine engagement, they may function as protective factors.

Where they are characterized by suspicion, dismissiveness, or adversarial posture, they contribute to a hostile psychological environment that impedes recovery.

---

6. Mental Health Outcomes and Severity Spectrum

The mental health outcomes observed in workers' compensation populations span a broad severity spectrum, from subclinical distress to severe and enduring mental illness, and, in some cases, to self-harm and suicide. Understanding this spectrum is essential for appropriate clinical assessment, triage, and intervention.

6.1 Subclinical Psychological Distress

At the least severe end of the spectrum, many workers experience subclinical psychological distress, characterized by transient symptoms of low mood, anxiety, irritability, and sleep disturbance that do not meet criteria for a diagnosable disorder. Collie et al. (2020) categorized 27.5% of their sample as experiencing moderate distress on the Kessler-6 scale, a level that is clinically significant but not necessarily indicative of a formal diagnosis. Such distress, while not diagnostically classified, is nonetheless consequential for functional recovery, quality of life, and progression to more severe conditions if left unaddressed.

6.2 Depressive Disorders

Depressive symptoms and disorders are among the most commonly documented mental health outcomes in workers' compensation populations. As noted, one Canadian prospective study reported a 12-month cumulative incidence of depressive symptoms of 50% among injured workers (Collie et al., 2020). Kim, cited in Wadhwa et al. (2024), documented that occupational injury was associated with 72% increased odds of developing depression relative to uninjured workers. Wall, also cited in Wadhwa et al. (2024), reported significantly higher depression scores among current compensation claimants (mean = 4.5, SD = 5.6) than among non-claimants (mean = 1.0, SD = 2.5), a difference that was statistically robust.

The depressive presentations observed in this population frequently include vegetative symptoms, hopelessness related to work and financial prospects, guilt or self-blame regarding the injury, and social withdrawal. In some cases, depressive symptoms interact with pain and functional limitation in ways that produce a self-reinforcing spiral of disability and demoralization (Collie et al., 2020).

6.3 Anxiety Disorders

Anxiety symptoms and disorders are also prevalent. Workers may experience generalized anxiety related to their financial situation, fear about the outcome of their claim, and worry about the possibility of re-injury or return to a workplace perceived as unsafe. Post-traumatic symptoms may emerge, particularly in workers whose injuries occurred in traumatic circumstances such as workplace accidents involving violence, machinery, or witnessed harm to colleagues. Health anxiety and fear-avoidance beliefs regarding physical activity may contribute to prolonged disability (Wadhwa et al., 2024).

Collie et al. (2020) documented that workers diagnosed with both depression and anxiety experienced particularly severe psychological distress, with adjusted odds ratios approaching four times that of workers without these diagnoses. This finding suggests that comorbid depression and anxiety may represent a particularly vulnerable clinical presentation requiring integrated treatment.

6.4 Post-Traumatic Presentations

Post-traumatic stress presentations are common in specific subpopulations of workers' compensation claimants, particularly those whose injuries occurred in traumatic circumstances. Wadhwa et al. (2024) noted that depression and post-traumatic stress are commonly documented long-term mental health sequelae of occupational injury. Post-traumatic symptoms may include intrusive re-experiencing of the injury event, avoidance of injury-related stimuli including the workplace itself, hyperarousal, and negative alterations in mood and cognition.

The clinical presentation of post-traumatic symptoms in compensation contexts may be complicated by the ongoing nature of the traumatic context. Where the injury is embedded in a chronic dispute over recognition, causation, or compensation, the individual may not have

the psychological space required for post-traumatic processing and integration.

6.5 Suicidality and Self-Harm

At the most severe end of the spectrum, workers' compensation claimants demonstrate elevated risk of suicidal ideation, suicidal planning, suicide attempts, and death by suicide. As reviewed above, the findings from Applebaum and Fishbain, cited in Wadhwa et al. (2024), document substantial elevations in suicide-related outcomes among compensation claimants relative to comparison groups. King and colleagues, also cited in Wadhwa et al. (2024), reported elevated hospitalization risk for self-harm among claimants.

The clinical implications are significant. Assessment of suicidality should be a routine component of clinical evaluation in this population, not an exceptional response to overt indicators. The presence of severe psychological distress, financial hardship, prolonged absence from work, and adversarial interaction with compensation systems should elevate clinical concern for suicide risk, and standard risk assessment and safety planning protocols should be implemented accordingly.

6.6 Comorbidity and Complex Presentations

Comorbidity is the norm rather than the exception in this population. Physical injury with associated pain, functional limitation, and disrupted employment coexists with psychological distress, depression, anxiety, and, in some cases, substance use disorders and post-traumatic symptoms. Collie et al. (2020) found that workers with diagnoses of both depression and anxiety experienced markedly higher levels of psychological distress than those with either diagnosis alone.

The clinical implication is that treatment planning must be integrated, addressing physical, psychological, social, and vocational dimensions concurrently rather than sequentially. Siloed approaches that address only the compensable condition or that defer psychological treatment until physical recovery is achieved are unlikely to produce optimal outcomes and may in fact perpetuate the very conditions they are intended to resolve.

---

7. System-Level and Contextual Psychological Effects

Beyond the individual clinical presentation, workers' compensation contexts produce a range of system-level and contextual psychological effects that shape the experience of claimants and influence outcomes. Understanding these effects is essential for clinicians who work with this population, both because they inform case conceptualization and because they identify potential targets for intervention or advocacy.

7.1 The Adversarial Dynamic

Many workers' compensation systems, despite their nominal no-fault design, function in practice as adversarial arenas in which claimants must prove and defend their claims against skeptical institutional actors. Pollard, cited in Wadhwa et al. (2024), documented the adversarial and alienating nature of the Victorian workers' compensation stress claims process from the perspective of injured teachers. Dawson, also cited in Wadhwa et al. (2024), documented the effects of delayed contested cases on Pennsylvania claimants.

The psychological consequences of this adversarial dynamic are significant. Claimants describe experiences of being disbelieved, forced to repeatedly prove the reality of their suffering, and subjected to surveillance and scrutiny. These experiences generate anger, demoralization, and a sense of injustice that can persist long after the specific dispute is resolved. The adversarial dynamic may also produce iatrogenic effects on physical health, as claimants describe symptom amplification or reluctance to demonstrate improvement in ways that might be interpreted as evidence against their claim (Wadhwa et al., 2024).

7.2 Bureaucratic Complexity and Depersonalization

Workers' compensation systems are administratively complex, involving multiple institutional actors, extensive documentation requirements, and specialized terminology. Claimants have reported finding the system bureaucratic, causing them to feel invisible and de-personalized (Wadhwa et al., 2024). Calvey and Jansz, cited in Wadhwa et al. (2024), documented women's experiences of the compensation system in ways that highlighted these depersonalizing effects.

The psychological impact of bureaucratic complexity operates through several mechanisms. First, the sheer cognitive burden of navigating the system may exhaust psychological resources that would otherwise be available for coping and recovery. Second, the impersonal nature of bureaucratic interaction may exacerbate feelings of insignificance and powerlessness. Third, delays and communication failures inherent in complex systems may generate chronic uncertainty that undermines mental health.

7.3 Power Imbalances

Workers' compensation contexts involve substantial power imbalances between claimants and institutional actors. The insurer or compensation authority controls the flow of benefits, the determination of medical necessity, and the resolution of disputes. Employers control the workplace to which the worker seeks to return. Healthcare providers, particularly those designated by the compensation system, exercise substantial influence over medical decisions. Legal representatives, where involved, mediate the relationship between claimant and system.

Mongeau and colleagues, cited in Wadhwa et al. (2024), documented gaps and failures across union, employer, and compensation system actors as perceived by workers with injuries. These power imbalances can produce a sense of helplessness that is itself a risk factor for depressive symptoms and hopelessness. They can also produce specific stressors, such as the fear of retaliation for asserting claims rights or the difficulty of challenging medical opinions that appear to prioritize institutional interests over claimant welfare.

7.4 Stigma and Social Consequences

Injured workers, particularly those with prolonged claims or with mental health components, frequently encounter stigma. This stigma may be directed at the reality of their injury, at their motives for claiming compensation, or at their identity as claimants. Wadhwa et al. (2024) noted that some individuals experience anxiety regarding whether their social supports, healthcare providers, or claims providers perceive their occupational injury to be genuine, or experience stigma by pursuing claims for their injuries.

The psychological consequences of stigma include shame, social withdrawal, and reluctance to engage with support systems. Stigma may also deter workers from seeking mental health

treatment, contributing to the substantial gap between mental health need and mental health service utilization documented by Collie et al. (2020).

7.5 Jurisdictional Variation

An important system-level observation is that outcomes vary across jurisdictions with different compensation arrangements. Wadhwa et al. (2024) noted that while no-fault compensation systems are generally associated with better health outcomes, individuals may still find the process stressful. Collie and colleagues, in prior work referenced in Collie et al. (2020), have observed significant differences in return-to-work outcomes by state or territory of workers' compensation claim in Australia, although their analysis in the current study did not observe statistically significant relationships between jurisdiction and psychological distress or mental health service use.

Jurisdictional variation is important for clinicians because it means that findings from one setting cannot be uncritically generalized to another. It is also important because it highlights the malleability of these systems and the possibility of reform. Where one jurisdiction produces poor outcomes and another produces better outcomes, the difference may be attributable to specific policy and practice choices that could, in principle, be replicated or improved.

---

8. Clinical Implications for Psychologists

The empirical and conceptual material reviewed in this manual has substantial implications for the practice of psychologists working with workers' compensation claimants, whether in primary clinical roles, consultative capacities, forensic evaluation contexts, or advocacy positions. This section articulates specific implications organized around key domains of clinical practice.

8.1 Assessment

Psychological assessment of workers' compensation claimants should be comprehensive and multi-domain, addressing not only the presenting psychological symptoms but also the context in which they arose and are maintained. Recommended domains of assessment include:

The nature, timing, and circumstances of the occupational injury, including any traumatic features and the initial response of the employer and colleagues. The trajectory of physical recovery, including current pain, functional limitation, and treatment engagement. The current mental status, including screening for depression, anxiety, post-traumatic symptoms, sleep disturbance, and substance use. Systematic assessment of suicidality, given the elevated risk documented in this population (Wadhwa et al., 2024). The nature and quality of interactions with key actors in the compensation ecosystem, including case managers, healthcare providers, employers, and legal representatives. Financial circumstances and the presence of financial stress. Occupational identity, meaning of work, and current employment status. Social support and family functioning. Pre-existing psychological history, including any prior mental health treatment or vulnerabilities.

The use of standardized instruments such as the Kessler-6 (Collie et al., 2020), the SF-36 mental health scale (Wadhwa et al., 2024), and the Pain Patient Profile (Wadhwa et al., 2024) can provide useful benchmarks, but these instruments should be understood as supplements to, rather than replacements for, careful clinical interviewing.

8.2 Treatment Planning and Delivery

Treatment planning should reflect the integrated nature of the clinical presentation. Rather than sequencing physical and psychological interventions or treating them as separate domains, psychologists should collaborate with other providers to develop plans that address multiple dimensions concurrently.

Cognitive-behavioral interventions targeting fear-avoidance beliefs, pain catastrophizing, and depressive cognitions have strong evidence support in mixed physical-psychological presentations. Trauma-focused interventions may be indicated for claimants whose injuries occurred in traumatic circumstances. Behavioral activation is often useful for claimants whose withdrawal from work and other activities has contributed to depressive symptomatology. Mindfulness-based approaches may support the tolerance of pain and uncertainty.

Acceptance and commitment therapy may be particularly valuable in helping claimants reconstruct a viable sense of meaning and purpose in the face of altered circumstances.

Where the compensation process itself is a source of distress, psychologists should consider explicit therapeutic work on the meaning and management of this stressor. This may include psychoeducation about the compensation system, cognitive work on appraisals of fairness and injustice, coping skills for managing bureaucratic frustration, and, where appropriate, advocacy support to reduce the burden of navigation on the claimant.

8.3 Screening and Early Intervention

The finding by Collie et al. (2020) that only 27.2% of workers with musculoskeletal injuries and moderate to severe psychological distress reported accessing mental health services represents a substantial unmet clinical need. Psychologists in positions to influence system practice should advocate for routine mental health screening of injured workers, ideally beginning in the acute post-injury period and continuing at intervals throughout the recovery trajectory. Early identification and intervention have the potential to prevent progression to more severe and enduring conditions, and to support more effective functional and vocational outcomes.

Cullen and colleagues, cited in Collie et al. (2020), documented that workplace interventions incorporating psychological components can accelerate return to work for musculoskeletal, pain-related, and mental health conditions. This finding provides evidence that early psychological intervention is not merely humane but also economically and functionally efficacious.

8.4 Working with Systems

Psychologists working with this population must be prepared to engage with multiple institutional actors, including insurers, employers, healthcare providers, and legal representatives. This engagement requires clinical skills that extend beyond traditional individual therapy, including systems thinking, mediation, effective written communication in institutional contexts, and understanding of the legal and administrative frameworks that structure the compensation experience.

Kilgour and colleagues, cited in Collie et al. (2020), documented multiple barriers to effective healthcare provision in workers' compensation schemes, including administrative barriers, unique clinical challenges in injured worker cohorts, and knowledge barriers such as lack of understanding of insurance processes among healthcare providers. Psychologists who develop expertise in navigating these barriers, and in supporting their clients to do so, add substantial clinical value.

8.5 Managing Ethical Complexity

The workers' compensation context presents distinctive ethical challenges for the psychologist. These include potential dual roles when providing both treatment and evaluation, obligations to communicate with third parties who may not share the client's interests, potential pressure to shape clinical assessments in ways that align with institutional preferences, and the need to maintain therapeutic alliance in the face of adversarial dynamics.

Psychologists should be explicit with clients about the boundaries of confidentiality when working in compensation contexts, clear about their role and its limits, and vigilant against the erosion of clinical judgment by institutional pressures. Where independent medical evaluations are conducted, particular attention should be paid to procedural fairness, respectful engagement, and the psychological consequences of the evaluation experience itself. Kilgour and colleagues, cited in Collie et al. (2020), examined the intersection of procedural justice and independent medical evaluations, highlighting the ethical stakes of this work.

8.6 Advocacy and System Change

Beyond individual clinical practice, psychologists have a role to play in advocating for system-level changes that could reduce the psychological harms associated with compensation processes. Wadhwa et al. (2024) identified several specific opportunities, including the fostering of positive relationships between claimants and case managers through open, fair, and regular communication; the provision of mediation services to help understand legal terms; the availability of third-party advocates with medical expertise; the limitation of legal jargon where possible; and the integration of mental health supports into the compensation process itself.

Psychologists can contribute to these reforms through participation in professional organizations, engagement with policymakers, contribution to scholarly literature, and consultation with insurers and employers seeking to improve their practices. The evidence base reviewed in this manual provides ample foundation for such advocacy.

---

9. Future Directions and Research Gaps

Despite the substantial progress represented by the studies reviewed in this manual, significant research gaps remain, and these gaps identify important directions for future scholarly and clinical work.

9.1 Causal Inference

The observational and cross-sectional nature of much of the existing literature limits the strength of causal claims that can be made about the relationship between compensation engagement and mental health outcomes. Wadhwa et al. (2024) explicitly noted this limitation, observing that most studies in their review were cross-sectional and that reverse causation, in which poor work environments contribute both to occupational injury and to mental health outcomes, remains a viable alternative explanation for observed associations. Future research employing longitudinal, quasi-experimental, and natural experiment designs is needed to strengthen causal inference.

9.2 Mechanisms and Mediators

The literature has identified numerous predictors of adverse outcomes but has less thoroughly examined the specific mechanisms through which these predictors operate. Future research should examine mediating pathways more explicitly, testing the relative contributions of financial stress, procedural injustice, cognitive burden, and identity disruption in producing psychological distress. Such mechanism-focused research would provide more precise targets for intervention.

9.3 Comparative and Cross-Jurisdictional Research

Wadhwa et al. (2024) noted substantial heterogeneity in compensation systems across jurisdictions, and that findings from any single setting may not generalize. Comparative research examining outcomes across jurisdictions with different compensation designs could identify specific policy features that promote or undermine mental health, providing evidence for reform.

9.4 Intervention Research

Beyond descriptive and predictive research, there is substantial need for intervention research examining the efficacy of specific approaches to preventing and treating psychological distress in workers' compensation populations. This includes screening protocols, early intervention models, integrated care approaches, and system-level reforms. Wadhwa et al. (2024) noted that the potential benefits of integrating mental health supports into the compensation process need to be further explored, particularly among individuals with pre-existing psychiatric conditions.

9.5 Attention to Vulnerable Subpopulations

Existing research has been limited in its attention to specific vulnerable subpopulations, including younger workers, workers with pre-existing mental health conditions, workers in precarious employment, and workers from marginalized racial, ethnic, and cultural backgrounds. Collie et al. (2020) documented that younger workers (aged 18–35) had elevated odds of psychological distress, but the specific mechanisms and appropriate interventions for this group require further investigation.

Billias, MacEachen, and Sherifali, cited in Wadhwa et al. (2024), examined precarious workers' responses to procedural unfairness during the work injury and claims process, highlighting the intersection of employment precariousness and compensation experience as an underdeveloped area of inquiry.

9.6 Lived Experience and Qualitative Research

Wadhwa et al. (2024) explicitly recommended that researchers consider claimants' lived experiences to inform understanding of the impacts of claim systems on individual experiences. Qualitative and mixed-methods research has the capacity to illuminate dimensions of the compensation experience that quantitative research may miss, and to generate hypotheses for subsequent testing.

9.7 Prediction Modeling

Spittal and colleagues, cited in Wadhwa et al. (2024), have contributed to the development of prediction models of stress and long-term disability among compensation claimants, an area with substantial promise for clinical application. Further development of validated prediction tools that could identify at-risk claimants at early stages of the compensation trajectory would support targeted intervention and more efficient allocation of clinical resources.

9.8 Integration with Related Fields

Finally, workers' compensation research would benefit from stronger integration with related fields, including chronic pain research, occupational health psychology, trauma studies, and health policy analysis. The isolation of workers' compensation research within specific disciplinary silos has limited the cross-pollination that could accelerate progress in understanding and addressing the psychological consequences of occupational injury.

---

10. Conclusion

The evidence reviewed in this continuing education manual supports several important conclusions for licensed psychologists and graduate-level clinicians engaged with workers' compensation claimant populations.

First, psychological distress is highly prevalent among workers' compensation claimants, affecting approximately two in five workers in the large national sample examined by Collie et al. (2020), with severe distress rates that substantially exceed general population norms. This prevalence extends to workers with musculoskeletal injuries who might not, on the basis of

injury type alone, be expected to demonstrate significant psychiatric morbidity. Psychological distress is thus a normative rather than exceptional feature of the workers' compensation experience.

Second, the compensation process itself appears to contribute meaningfully to psychological outcomes, operating through multiple mechanisms including procedural injustice, financial stress, occupational identity disruption, cognitive burden of system navigation, and adversarial interpersonal dynamics. While causal inference remains challenging given the observational nature of most existing research, the convergence of findings across multiple studies and methodologies, as documented by Wadhwa et al. (2024), supports the conclusion that compensation engagement is an active variable in the recovery trajectory, not a neutral backdrop.

Third, the severity spectrum of mental health outcomes in this population extends to self-harm and suicide, with elevated risks documented across multiple studies. Assessment of suicidality should be a routine component of clinical practice with workers' compensation claimants, and safety planning should be implemented with the same rigor as in any other high-risk clinical population.

Fourth, substantial gaps exist between mental health need and mental health service utilization, particularly among workers with musculoskeletal injuries and comorbid psychological distress. These gaps represent both an ethical imperative and an opportunity for clinical intervention. Routine screening, early intervention, and integrated care models have the potential to reduce these gaps and improve outcomes.

Fifth, effective clinical work with this population requires attention to systems, not merely to individual intrapsychic dynamics. Psychologists must be prepared to engage with insurers, employers, healthcare providers, and legal representatives, and to advocate for system-level changes that reduce iatrogenic harms.

Finally, the field would benefit from continued research investment, particularly in causal inference, mechanism identification, intervention evaluation, and attention to vulnerable subpopulations. Psychologists are well-positioned to contribute to this research through both scholarly and clinical work.

The workers' compensation system was designed with the intention of supporting injured workers through recovery and return to productive employment. The evidence reviewed in this manual suggests that in its current forms, the system frequently falls short of this intention, and in some cases actively undermines the psychological welfare of those it is meant to serve. For the licensed psychologist working with this population, this reality is both a source of professional challenge and a call to action. Effective clinical work requires not only technical competence in the assessment and treatment of psychological distress and mental illness, but also a systems-informed perspective that recognizes the compensation context as an active variable in the clinical picture. It requires ethical clarity in navigating institutional pressures, therapeutic skill in supporting clients through prolonged and stressful processes, and, where appropriate, advocacy commitment to the reform of systems that produce foreseeable harm.

Injured workers deserve compensation systems that support rather than impede their recovery, and mental health care that is timely, integrated, and accessible. The empirical evidence base, while incomplete, is sufficient to identify the direction of needed reform. Psychologists have both the clinical knowledge and the professional standing to contribute to this reform, and to provide the compassionate, informed, and effective care that this population requires.

---

11. References

Applebaum, K. M., Asfaw, A., O'Leary, P. K., Busey, A., Tripodis, Y., & Boden, L. I. (2019). Suicide and drug-related mortality following occupational injury. *American Journal of Industrial Medicine, 62*, 733–741.

Asfaw, A. G., Bushnell, P. T., & Ray, T. K. (2010). Relationship of work injury severity to family member hospitalization. *American Journal of Industrial Medicine, 53*, 506–513.

Asfaw, A. P., & Souza, K. P. (2012). Incidence and cost of depression after occupational injury. *Journal of Occupational and Environmental Medicine, 54*, 1086–1091.

Barr, B., Taylor-Robinson, D., Stuckler, D., Loopstra, R., Reeves, A., & Whitehead, M. (2016). 'First, do no harm': Are disability assessments associated with adverse trends in mental

health? A longitudinal ecological study. *Journal of Epidemiology and Community Health, 70*, 339–345.

Betters, C. J., & Shaw, L. R. (2009). Establishing predictor variables for unmet psychological need in the Florida workers' compensation system. *Work, 32*, 145–154.

Billias, N., MacEachen, E., & Sherifali, S. (2023). "I grabbed my stuff and walked out": Precarious workers' responses and next steps when faced with procedural unfairness during work injury and claims process. *Journal of Occupational Rehabilitation, 33*, 160–169.

Brijnath, B., Mazza, D., Singh, N., Kosny, A., Ruseckaite, R., & Collie, A. (2014). Mental health claims management and return to work: Qualitative insights from Melbourne, Australia. *Journal of Occupational Rehabilitation, 24*(4), 766–776.

Calvey, J., & Jansz, J. (2005). Women's experience of the workers compensation system. *Australian Journal of Social Issues, 40*, 285–311.

Carnide, N., Franche, R. L., Hogg-Johnson, S., Cote, P., Breslin, C., Severin, C. N., et al. (2016). Course of depressive symptoms following a workplace injury: A 12 month follow up update. *Journal of Occupational Rehabilitation, 26*, 204–215.

Collie, A., Lane, T. J., Hassani-Mahmooei, B., Thompson, J., & McLeod, C. (2016). Does time off work after injury vary by jurisdiction? A comparative study of eight Australian workers' compensation systems. *BMJ Open, 6*(5), e010910.

Collie, A., Sheehan, L., Lane, T. J., & Iles, R. (2020). Psychological distress in workers' compensation claimants: Prevalence, predictors and mental health service use. *Journal of Occupational Rehabilitation, 30*, 194–202.

Costa-Black, K. (2014). Work disability models: Past and present. In P. Loisel & J. R. Anema (Eds.), *Handbook of work disability: Prevention and management* (pp. 71–93). Springer-Verlag.

Craig, A., Tran, Y., Guest, R., Gopinath, B., Jagnoor, J., Bryant, R. A., et al. (2016). Psychological impact of injuries sustained in motor vehicle crashes: Systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMJ Open, 6*(9), e011993.

Cullen, K. L., Irvin, E., Collie, A., Clay, F., Gensby, U., Jennings, P. A., et al. (2018). Effectiveness of workplace interventions in return-to-work for musculoskeletal, pain-related and mental health conditions: An update of the evidence and messages for practitioners. *Journal of Occupational Rehabilitation, 28*, 1–15.

Dawson, S. E. (1994). Workers' compensation in Pennsylvania: The effects of delayed contested cases. *Journal of Health and Social Policy, 6*, 87–100.

Dersh, J., Gatchel, R. J., Mayer, T., Polatin, R., & Temple, O. R. (2006). Prevalence of psychiatric disorders in patients with chronic disabling occupational spinal disorders. *Spine, 31*(10), 1156–1162.

Eggert, S. (2010). Psychosocial factors affecting employees abilities to return to work. *American Association of Occupational Health Nurses, 58*, 51–55.

Elbers, N. A., Collie, A., Hogg-Johnson, S., Lippel, K., Lockwood, K., & Cameron, I. D. (2016). Differences in perceived fairness and health outcomes in two injury compensation systems: A comparative study. *BMC Public Health, 16*, 658.

Elbers, N. A., Hulst, L., Cuijpers, P., Akkermans, A. J., & Bruinvels, D. J. (2013). Do compensation processes impair mental health? A meta-analysis. *Injury, 44*, 674–683.

Fishbain, D. A., Bruns, D., Disorbio, J. M., & Lewis, J. E. (2009). Risk for five forms of suicidality in acute pain patients and chronic pain patients vs pain-free community controls. *Pain Medicine, 10*, 1095–1105.

Franche, R. L., Carnide, N., Hogg-Johnson, S., Cote, P., Breslin, C., Bultmann, U., et al. (2009). Course, diagnosis and treatment of depressive symptomatology in workers following a workplace injury: A prospective cohort study. *Canadian Journal of Psychiatry, 54*(8), 534–546.

Gabbe, B. J., Cameron, P. A., Williamson, O. D., Edwards, E. R., Graves, S. E., & Richardson, M. D. (2007). The relationship between compensable status and long term patient outcomes following orthopaedic trauma. *Medical Journal of Australia, 187*, 14–17.

Grant, G. M., O'Donnell, M. L., Spittal, M. J., Creamer, M., & Studdert, D. M. (2014). Relationship between stressfulness of claiming for injury compensation and long-term recovery: A prospective cohort study. *JAMA Psychiatry, 71*(4), 446–453.

Greenough, C. G., & Fraser, R. D. (1989). The effects of compensation on recovery from low-back injury. *Spine, 14*, 947–955.

Guest, G. H., & Drummond, P. D. (1992). Effect of compensation on emotional state and disability in chronic back pain. *Pain, 48*, 125–130.

Hee, H. T., Whitecloud, T. S., Myers, L., Roesch, W., & Ricciardi, J. E. (2001). SF-36 health status of workers compensation cases with spinal disorders. *Spine Journal, 1*, 176–182.

Hepburn, C. G., Kelloway, E. K., & Franche, R. L. (2010). Early employer response to workplace injury: What injured workers perceive as fair and why these perceptions matter. *Journal of Occupational Health Psychology, 15*(4), 409–420.

Himmelstein, J. S., Feuerstein, M., Stanek, E. J. 3rd, Koyamatsu, K., Pransky, G. S., Morgan, W., et al. (1995). Work-related upper-extremity disorders and work disability: Clinical and psychosocial presentation. *Journal of Occupational and Environmental Medicine, 37*, 1278–1286.

Ioannou, L., Braaf, S., Cameron, P., Gibson, S. J., Ponsford, J., Jennings, P. A., et al. (2016). Compensation system experience at 12 months after road or workplace injury in Victoria, Australia. *Psychological Injury and Law, 9*, 376–389.

Keogh, J. P., Nuwayhid, I., Gordon, J. L., & Gucer, P. W. (2000). The impact of occupational injury on injured worker and family: Outcomes of upper extremity cumulative trauma disorders in Maryland workers. *American Journal of Industrial Medicine, 38*, 498–506.

Kessler, R. C., Andrews, G., Colpe, L. J., Hiripi, E., Mroczek, D. K., Normand, S. L., et al. (2002). Short screening scales to monitor population prevalences and trends in non-specific psychological distress. *Psychological Medicine, 32*(6), 959–976.

Kessler, R. C., Barker, P. R., Colpe, L. J., Epstein, J. F., Gfroerer, J. C., Hiripi, E., et al. (2003). Screening for serious mental illness in the general population. *Archives of General Psychiatry, 60*(2), 184–189.

Kilgour, E., Kosny, A., Akkermans, A. J., & Collie, A. (2015). Procedural justice and the use of independent medical evaluations in workers' compensation. *Psychological Injury and Law, 8*(2), 153–168.

Kilgour, E., Kosny, A., McKenzie, D., & Collie, A. (2015). Healing or harming? Healthcare provider interactions with injured workers and insurers in workers' compensation systems. *Journal of Occupational Rehabilitation, 25*(1), 220–239.

Kilgour, E., Kosny, A., McKenzie, D., & Collie, A. (2015). Interactions between injured workers and insurers in workers' compensation systems: A systematic review of qualitative research literature. *Journal of Occupational Rehabilitation, 25*(1), 160–181.

Kim, J. (2013). Depression as a psychosocial consequence of occupational injury in the US working population: Findings from the medical expenditure panel survey. *BMC Public Health, 13*, 303–312.

King, T. L., Disney, G., Sutherland, G., & Kavanagh, A. (2023). Associations between workers' compensation and self-harm: A retrospective case-series study of hospital admissions data. *The Lancet Regional Health – Western Pacific, 30*, 100614.

Kyron, M. J., Rikkers, W., O'Brien, P., Bartlett, J., & Lawrence, D. (2021). Experiences of police and emergency services employees with workers' compensation claims for mental health issues. *Journal of Occupational Rehabilitation, 31*, 197–206.

Lane, T. J., Collie, A., & Hassani-Mahmooei, B. (2016). Work-related injury and illness in Australia, 2004 to 2014. Institute for Safety Compensation and Recovery Research.

Lippel, K. (1999). Workers' compensation and stress: Gender and access to compensation. *International Journal of Law and Psychiatry, 22*, 79–89.

Lippel, K. (2007). Workers describe the effect of the workers' compensation process on their health: A Quebec study. *International Journal of Law and Psychiatry, 30*, 427–443.

Lopez Gomez, M. A., Williams, J. A. R., Boden, L., Sorensen, G., & Hopcia, K. (2020). The relationship of occupational injury and use of mental health care. *Journal of Safety Research, 74*, 227–232.

Macpherson, R. A., Lane, T. J., Collie, A., & McLeod, C. B. (2018). Age, sex, and the changing disability burden of compensated work-related musculoskeletal disorders in Canada and Australia. *BMC Public Health, 18*(1), 758.

Mazza, D., Brijnath, B., Singh, N., Kosny, A., Ruseckaite, R., & Collie, A. (2015). General practitioners and sickness certification for injury in Australia. *BMC Family Practice, 16*, 100.

McLaren, C. F., Baldwin, M. L., & Boden, L. I. (2018). *Workers' compensation: Benefits, costs and coverage (2016 data)*. National Academy of Social Insurance.

Milner, A., Page, A., & LaMontagne, A. D. (2013). Long-term unemployment and suicide: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *PLoS ONE, 8*, e51333.

Mongeau, S., Lightfoot, N., MacEwan, L., & Boivin, J. (2021). Union employer and compensation system gaps and failures: Workers with injuries perceptions. *Work, 69*, 485–495.

Morse, T. F., Dillon, C., Warren, N., Levenstein, C., & Warren, A. (1998). The economic and social consequences of work-related musculoskeletal disorders: The Connecticut Upper-Extremity Surveillance Project (CUSP). *International Journal of Occupational and Environmental Health, 4*, 209–216.

O'Donnell, M. L., Grant, G., Alkemade, N., Spittal, M., Creamer, M., Silove, D., et al. (2015). Compensation seeking and disability after injury: The role of compensation-related stress and mental health. *Journal of Clinical Psychiatry, 76*, 3527.

Orchard, C., Carnide, N., & Smith, P. (2020). How does perceived fairness in the workers' compensation claims process affect mental health following a workplace injury? *Journal of Occupational Rehabilitation, 30*, 40–48.

Orchard, C., Carnide, N., Smith, P., & Mustard, C. (2021). The association between case manager interactions and serious mental illness following a physical workplace injury or illness: A cross-sectional analysis of workers' compensation claimants in Ontario. *Journal of Occupational Rehabilitation, 31*, 895–902.

Pollard, W. M. (2014). Injured teachers' experiences of the Victorian workers' compensation stress claims process: Adversarial and alienating. *Health Sociology Review, 23*, 102–112.

Prawitz, A. D., Garman, E. T., Sorhaindo, B., O'Neill, B., Kim, J., & Drentea, P. (2006). In Charge Financial Distress/Financial Well-Being Scale: Development, administration, and score interpretation. *Journal of Financial Counseling and Planning, 17*(1), 34–50.

Prochaska, J. J., Sung, H. Y., Max, W., Shi, Y., & Ong, M. (2012). Validity study of the K6 scale as a measure of moderate mental distress based on mental health treatment need and utilization. *International Journal of Methods in Psychiatric Research, 21*(2), 88–97.

Reid, J., Ewan, C., & Lowy, E. (1991). Pilgrimage of pain: The illness experiences of women with repetition strain injury and the search for credibility. *Social Science and Medicine, 32*, 601–612.

Roberts-Yates, C. (2003). The concerns and issues of injured workers in relation to claims/injury management and rehabilitation: The need for new operational frameworks. *Disability and Rehabilitation, 25*, 898–907.

Safe Work Australia. (2017). *Comparison of workers' compensation arrangements in Australia and New Zealand, 2016*. Safe Work Australia.

Safe Work Australia. (2018). *Australian workers' compensation statistics 2015–16*. Safe Work Australia.

Smith, P. M., Black, O., Keegel, T., & Collie, A. (2014). Are the predictors of work absence following a work-related injury similar for musculoskeletal and mental health claims? *Journal of Occupational Rehabilitation, 24*(1), 79–88.

Spittal, M. J., Grant, G., O'Donnell, M., McFarlane, A. C., & Studdert, D. M. (2019). Development of prediction models of stress and long-term disability among claimants to injury compensation systems: A cohort study. *BMJ Open, 8*, e020803.

Strunin, L., & Boden, L. I. (2004). The workers' compensation system: Worker friend or foe? *American Journal of Industrial Medicine, 45*, 338–345.

Wadhwa, S., Taouk, Y., Spittal, M. J., & King, T. (2024). Workplace injury compensation and mental health and self-harm outcomes: A systematic review. *NEW SOLUTIONS: A Journal of Environmental and Occupational Health Policy, 34*(2), 71–82.

Wagner, E. R., Woodmass, J. M., Chang, M. J., Welp, K. M., Higgins, L. D., & Warner, J. J. P. (2020). The impact of workers' compensation on recovery after biceps tenodesis. *Journal of Shoulder and Elbow Surgery, 29*, 1783–1788.

Wall, C. L., Morrissey, S. A., & Ogloff, J. R. P. (2008). Personality traits, psychological health, and the workers' compensation system. *Australian Journal of Rehabilitation Counselling, 14*, 55–68.

Wells, G. A., Shea, B., O'Connell, D., Peterson, J., Welch, V., Losos, M., & Tugwell, P. (2000). *The Newcastle-Ottawa Scale (NOS) for assessing the quality of nonrandomized studies in meta-analyses*. Ottawa Hospital Research Institute.