
CONTINUING EDUCATION

Historical Trauma, Contemporary Adversity, and Mental Health in American Indian Communities: An Integrated Clinical and Cultural Framework for Psychologists

Reading Manual for Licensed Psychologists
and Graduate-Level Clinicians

FRANKLIN CARVAJAL

August 2026

Contents

Abstract	4
Introduction	5
Historical and Theoretical Foundations	7
The Historical Context of Contemporary Suffering	7
Defining Historical Trauma	8
Measuring Historical Loss	9
The Range of Contemporary Trauma Exposure	9
Conceptual Models and Mechanisms	10
The Indigenist Stress-Coping Model	10
The Multilevel Framework	11
Stress Sensitization and Cumulative Adversity	12
Psychological, Behavioral, and Biological Pathways	12
Integrated Review of the Evidence	13
Convergent Findings Across Studies	13
Divergent and Contested Findings	14
Synthesis Table of Key Findings	15
Professional Interpretation and Critical Analysis	16
What the Collective Evidence Means for the Field	16
Methodological Strengths of the Evidence Base	17
Methodological Limitations and Interpretive Cautions	18
Psychological Pathways and Stress Responses	19
From Historical Loss to Physiological Reactivity	19
The Historical Trauma Response	19
Mental Health Outcomes and the Severity Spectrum	21
Depression: Prevalence, Presentation, and Diagnostic Considerations	21

PTSD in the Context of Elevated Trauma Exposure	22
Suicide	22
Substance Use Disorders	24
Cardiometabolic Consequences	25
System-Level and Contextual Psychological Effects	25
Community Variation and Its Clinical Significance	25
The Culture of the Clinic Versus the Culture of the Community	26
Access to Care and Service Utilization	26
Clinical Implications for Psychologists	28
Assessment	28
Formulation	29
Treatment Planning	29
Special Considerations in Suicide Risk Assessment	31
Ethical Considerations in Practice	31
Competence	31
Informed Consent	32
Confidentiality in Small Communities	32
Multiple Relationships	33
Cultural Responsiveness	33
Scope of Practice	33
Practice Recommendations	34
Well-Supported Recommendations	35
Moderately Supported Recommendations	36
Tentative Recommendations	36
Future Directions and Research Gaps	37
Conclusion	39
References	40

Abstract

American Indian communities in the United States carry among the most severe and persistent health inequities in the nation, including elevated rates of major depressive disorder, posttraumatic stress disorder (PTSD), suicide, substance use disorders, and cardiometabolic disease. This continuing education manuscript synthesizes empirical evidence drawn from four rigorously conducted studies of psychiatric and health outcomes among American Indians living on or near tribal reservations. These studies span multilevel epidemiologic analysis of depression in Southwest and Northern Plains tribes (Kaufman et al., 2013), the social epidemiology of trauma exposure across two reservation populations (Manson et al., 2005), the empirical relationship between historical trauma, PTSD, and suicidal behavior in a Southern California tribal community (Ehlers et al., 2022), and profiles of historical loss and childhood adversity as predictors of adult mental and cardiometabolic health across a national sample of American Indian adults (John-Henderson & Ginty, 2023). Taken together, the evidence supports a multilevel, culturally embedded model of psychological suffering in which historical trauma, contemporary trauma exposure, family history, cultural connection, and community context jointly shape the presentation, course, and treatment of psychiatric disorder. The manuscript translates this integrated evidence base into concrete clinical guidance for psychologists on assessment, formulation, treatment planning, and ethical practice, and it embeds illustrative case vignettes throughout to model culturally responsive decision-making. Practice recommendations emphasize the necessity of moving beyond individual, decontextualized diagnostic assessment toward frameworks that account for collective historical wounding, kinship structures, spiritual traditions, and community-level protective and risk conditions.

Introduction

American Indian and Alaska Native populations occupy an unusual and instructive position within American mental health epidemiology. They are simultaneously among the smallest demographic groups in the United States—less than two percent of the population, dispersed across more than 350 federally recognized tribes in the lower forty-eight states—and among the most culturally, linguistically, and historically heterogeneous (Kaufman et al., 2013). At the same time, they carry disproportionate burdens of psychiatric illness, trauma exposure, chronic disease, and premature mortality (Adakai et al., 2018, as cited in John-Henderson & Ginty, 2023; Manson et al., 2005). This combination of demographic invisibility and clinical urgency has created a longstanding paradox: the populations most at risk for adverse mental health outcomes are often the least represented in the empirical literature that guides clinical training, assessment instruments, and treatment protocols.

For the licensed psychologist and graduate-level clinician, this paradox translates into a specific and often uncomfortable question. When the diagnostic categories, screening instruments, and psychotherapeutic models one has been trained to deploy were developed largely in reference to non-Indigenous populations, and when the sociodemographic correlates one has been taught to interrogate—income, education, employment status—behave differently in American Indian communities than in the general United States population, what constitutes competent practice? The four studies synthesized in this manual converge on a single, if uncomfortable, answer: competent practice with American Indian clients requires that the clinician move beyond individual-level, decontextualized formulation toward a multilevel understanding of psychological suffering that incorporates historical trauma, contemporary trauma, kinship networks, cultural traditions, spirituality, and the material and symbolic conditions of the community in which the client lives.

This manual is organized to build that understanding progressively. The historical and theoretical foundations section grounds the reader in the concepts of historical trauma, historical loss, and the historical trauma response, drawing on Whitbeck and colleagues' foundational work and its subsequent operationalization. The conceptual models section develops the multilevel and stress-process frameworks that integrate individual, family, and community-level factors. The integrated review section examines the empirical evidence across the four studies, attending to points of convergence and divergence. The professional interpretation section offers critical analysis of what the collective evidence means for the field, including methodological strengths and limitations. Subsequent sections address the psychological and

physiological pathways linking trauma and community context to psychiatric and cardiometabolic outcomes, the specific clinical implications for depression, PTSD, and suicide risk assessment, and the system-level considerations that shape service delivery. Ethical considerations are treated in depth, with particular attention to competence, informed consent, confidentiality in small communities, multiple relationships, and cultural responsiveness. Practice recommendations distinguish well-supported guidance from more tentative suggestions. Case scenarios appear throughout the clinically relevant sections to model integration of the evidence into practice.

Two orienting principles deserve emphasis at the outset. First, the intra-tribal and inter-tribal variability documented across these studies is not incidental noise around a common American Indian mean; it is a substantive finding with direct clinical implications. The Southwest and Northern Plains tribes studied by Kaufman and colleagues (2013), the community sample described by Ehlers and colleagues (2022), and the pan-tribal sample analyzed by John-Henderson and Ginty (2023) do not represent interchangeable populations. Clinicians must resist the temptation to develop a generic "American Indian cultural competence" that flattens this heterogeneity. Second, the appropriate temporal frame for understanding mental health in these communities extends well beyond the individual biography. The evidence reviewed here documents that intergenerational wounding, expressed in daily thoughts about historical losses and in the childhood trauma exposures that concentrate in communities shaped by such losses, contributes substantially to adult psychiatric and physical health outcomes (John-Henderson & Ginty, 2023). A clinician who assesses only the client's own lifetime, without inquiring about the historical and collective dimensions of suffering, is likely to miss information central to accurate formulation and effective treatment.

Historical and Theoretical Foundations

The Historical Context of Contemporary Suffering

The atrocities that followed European contact with the Indigenous peoples of the Americas—genocide, warfare, deliberate spread of infectious disease, forced relocation, the systematic dismantling of subsistence economies, mandatory boarding school attendance, prohibition of traditional spiritual practices, and legally sanctioned ethnic cleansing—are not simply historical background to contemporary clinical work. As John-Henderson and Ginty (2023) observe, these events constitute what has been termed the "American Indian Holocaust" (Thornton, 1987, as cited in John-Henderson & Ginty, 2023), and their psychological, social, and physiological consequences continue to shape the lives of American Indian clients presenting to clinicians today.

The mechanisms of this continuity are multiple. Following military defeat, many American Indians were relocated to lands entirely foreign to them, often functioning as concentration camps in which residents depended on the federal government for basic subsistence (John-Henderson & Ginty, 2023). Traditional subsistence practices were prohibited, and Indigenous peoples were compelled to adopt Western economic and cultural forms. The boarding school policy, implemented aggressively in the late nineteenth century and continuing into the twentieth, forcibly removed children from their families; by 1930, approximately half of all American Indian children were enrolled in these institutions (U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, 2001, as cited in John-Henderson & Ginty, 2023). Once there, children were typically forbidden from speaking their languages, engaging in traditional practices, or maintaining family contact, and physical, emotional, and sexual abuse were common (Adams, 1998, as cited in John-Henderson & Ginty, 2023).

The clinical significance of this history is that it created conditions—loss of parenting knowledge, disruption of secure attachment across generations, normalization of abuse and severe punishment, erosion of cultural and spiritual resources—that predict the elevated rates of childhood trauma observed in contemporary American Indian communities. Moon-Riley et al. (2019, as cited in John-Henderson & Ginty, 2023) documented that American Indian adults whose parents attended boarding schools reported significantly higher levels of childhood trauma than those whose parents did not. The pattern is intergenerational not because trauma is genetically or metaphysically transmitted, but because the concrete social and psychological consequences of colonial violence—broken families, disrupted parenting, learned

dysfunction, systemic poverty, ongoing discrimination—reproduce themselves across generations.

Defining Historical Trauma

Historical trauma, as developed in the work of Brave Heart and colleagues and operationalized empirically by Whitbeck and colleagues, is best understood as a "merged product of both psychological trauma and historical oppression" (Hartmann & Gone, 2014, as cited in John-Henderson & Ginty, 2023). It differs from individual trauma in three critical respects. First, it is collective rather than individual; it wounds not only those who directly experience atrocity but the broader community and subsequent generations. Second, it is transmitted through multiple pathways, including shared narratives and learned histories, epigenetic changes, transmission of maternal stress, alterations in developing physiological systems, effects on parenting and attachment, and learned patterns of response to stress and trauma (Brave Heart, 2003; Mohatt et al., 2014; O'Neill et al., 2018; Whitbeck et al., 2004; Yehuda & Lehrner, 2018, all as cited in John-Henderson & Ginty, 2023). Third, and critically for clinical work, it is not neatly located in the past. As Whitbeck and colleagues emphasized, the losses associated with historical trauma are "ongoing and present" in the lives of American Indians because they are continually reactivated by present-day economic marginalization, discrimination, and threats to cultural continuity (Whitbeck et al., 2004a, as cited in Ehlers et al., 2022).

The behavioral and psychological constellation that accompanies awareness of these losses has been termed the historical trauma response, and it can include depression, self-destructive behavior, suicidal ideation, anxiety, anger, difficulty with emotion regulation, and substance use (Brave Heart, 2003, as cited in John-Henderson & Ginty, 2023). It is important for clinicians to recognize that these symptoms map substantially onto conventional psychiatric diagnostic categories, but that their interpretation as merely individual pathology risks missing their collective and historical dimension.

Measuring Historical Loss

The empirical study of historical trauma in mental health research required the development of instruments capable of quantifying what had previously been theorized. Whitbeck and colleagues (2004a, 2004b, as cited in Ehlers et al., 2022 and John-Henderson & Ginty, 2023) developed two related instruments that have become widely used: the Historical Loss Scale and the Historical Loss Associated Symptoms Scale. The Historical Loss Scale asks respondents to rate the frequency with which they think about a set of twelve losses—including loss of land, language, spiritual ways, culture, and family ties due to boarding schools—identified through focus group work with tribal elders. Response options range from several times a day to never. The Historical Loss Associated Symptoms Scale asks about the frequency of specific symptoms—anger, depression, anxiety, and others—experienced when respondents think or talk about these losses.

The conceptual distinction between the two scales is important for clinical understanding. The Historical Loss Scale measures the cognitive frequency of engagement with historical wounds. The Associated Symptoms Scale measures the emotional and psychological reactivity that thoughts about those losses provoke. As Ehlers and colleagues (2022) demonstrated in their community sample, these two dimensions can be dissociated: an individual may think about historical losses often without experiencing high emotional reactivity, or may think about them less often but with intense associated distress. This dissociation has direct clinical implications, discussed further in the section on assessment.

The Range of Contemporary Trauma Exposure

The historical dimension does not exhaust the burden of trauma in American Indian communities. Contemporary rates of exposure to specific traumatic events also exceed those observed in the general United States population. Manson and colleagues (2005) conducted the first systematic epidemiologic assessment of trauma exposure in two large reservation populations, and their findings established several patterns that subsequent research has largely confirmed. Lifetime exposure to at least one trauma among tribal members ranged from 62.4% among Southwest men to 69.8% among Northern Plains women, at the upper end of the range reported in other populations. More striking, the well-documented gender difference in overall trauma exposure observed in the National Comorbidity Survey (60.7% for men, 51.2% for women) was absent in these tribal samples; women and men reported equivalent overall exposure (Manson et al., 2005).

The specific traumas driving this pattern are instructive. American Indian men and women in the Manson et al. (2005) sample were substantially more likely than their counterparts in the general population to have witnessed traumatic events, to have experienced traumas to loved ones, and to have been victims of physical attacks. In both tribes, women reported extraordinarily high rates of interpersonal violence, particularly physical abuse by intimate partners (28.9% in the Southwest and 31.0% in the Northern Plains). Rape rates for tribal women (12.8% and 14.4%) were roughly comparable to the rate for U.S. women overall (10.4%) but occurred against a backdrop of much higher rates of other physical attacks and witnessed violence. Ehlers and colleagues (2022), working with a Southern California community sample nearly two decades later, reported that 94% of participants had experienced at least one significant life-threatening trauma, with 70% reporting assaultive trauma specifically.

The interpretive challenge for clinicians is that this contemporary trauma exposure cannot be neatly separated from historical trauma. The elevated rates of interpersonal violence, substance-related injury, and witnessed violence in reservation communities are themselves partly consequences of the social and economic conditions produced by colonial history. Contemporary trauma occurs within a matrix of historical trauma, and both must be assessed to formulate accurately.

Conceptual Models and Mechanisms

The Indigenist Stress-Coping Model

The Indigenist stress-coping model developed by Walters and Simoni (2002, as cited in Kaufman et al., 2013) provides a useful framework for organizing clinical thinking. In this model, mental health and related outcomes are shaped by historical and contemporary trauma exposures and buffered by cultural resources including spirituality, kinship ties, and cultural identity. The model differs from generic stress-coping models in two important ways. First, it explicitly names historical trauma as a category of stressor with distinct properties, not reducible to the aggregation of individual traumas. Second, it identifies specifically Indigenous cultural resources as protective factors, rather than assuming that generic social support or coping constructs adequately capture the buffering mechanisms available in these communities.

The clinical implication is that formulation should attend to both sides of the equation. A client presenting with depression should be assessed not only for their exposure to historical and contemporary trauma but also for the strength and accessibility of their cultural and kinship resources. Loss of connection to language, ceremony, kin networks, or traditional practices is not a peripheral cultural detail; it is a modifiable clinical variable that may be central to prognosis and treatment planning.

The Multilevel Framework

Kaufman and colleagues (2013) advance a specifically multilevel conceptualization of depression that has significant implications for how psychologists think about individual pathology. In their framing, a diagnosis of major depressive episode "while conventionally considered an individual ailment, may arise in part from individuals' experiences in stressed communities" (Kaufman et al., 2013, p. 3). Their empirical analyses documented significant variation in the probability of past-year major depressive episode across census block groups in a Northern Plains tribe, even after accounting for individual-level characteristics. This community-level variation was not fully explained by measured community characteristics such as poverty rate, educational attainment, or unemployment, suggesting that unmeasured community factors—perhaps the presence of a trusted local clinician, active traditional healing networks, community leadership, or other collective resources—modify individual risk.

For the clinician, the multilevel model does not simply add another layer of complexity; it changes the object of clinical attention. If community conditions modify individual risk, then attention to community history, community resources, and community stressors becomes clinically necessary rather than optional cultural background. Gone (2007, as cited in Kaufman et al., 2013) captures this shift in describing the "divergence between the culture of the clinic and the culture of the community." A clinical encounter that ends at the office door, without attention to the community context from which the client comes and to which they return, is likely to be less effective than one that recognizes the community as part of the therapeutic field.

Stress Sensitization and Cumulative Adversity

The stress sensitization model, referenced by John-Henderson and Ginty (2023), posits that early experiences of trauma may sensitize individuals to the effects of later stressors, including those associated with historical trauma. This model provides a plausible mechanism for the empirical findings of profile analyses showing that individuals with both high childhood trauma and high frequency of thoughts about historical loss carry the greatest risk for adverse mental health outcomes. Under a sensitization framework, childhood trauma does not simply add its effects to those of historical trauma; it may amplify the emotional and physiological impact of engaging with historical loss.

Clinically, this suggests that clients with extensive childhood trauma histories may be particularly vulnerable when encountering material that activates historical loss—whether in the form of political events, cultural losses, family stories, or therapeutic exploration itself. Attention to this potential amplification is important both for pacing therapeutic work and for anticipating periods of elevated risk.

Psychological, Behavioral, and Biological Pathways

The pathways linking trauma exposure to psychiatric and physical health outcomes are increasingly well characterized. John-Henderson and Ginty (2023) summarize the psychological and biological literature indicating that acute and chronic stress affect physiological systems including autonomic reactivity and neuroendocrine function (Dich et al., 2015; Gianaros & Jennings, 2018, as cited in John-Henderson & Ginty, 2023), and that these effects are mediated in part by behavioral factors such as substance use, physical inactivity, and disturbed sleep (Jackson et al., 2010; Raposa et al., 2014; Suvarna et al., 2020, as cited in John-Henderson & Ginty, 2023) and by patterns of affect and emotion regulation (Appleton & Kubzansky, 2014; Roy et al., 2018; Sapolsky, 2007, as cited in John-Henderson & Ginty, 2023). Manson and colleagues (2005) similarly note that trauma is associated with alterations in central and autonomic nervous system function and hormonal dysregulation, and that these alterations elevate risk for cardiovascular disease, potentially contributing to the high rates of cardiovascular mortality observed in American Indian populations.

For the psychologist, these pathways matter because they establish that treatment of mental health conditions in American Indian clients is not purely a matter of psychological well-being. Effective intervention on stress, trauma responses, and depression has plausible implications for cardiovascular risk, metabolic health, and premature mortality. This integration also underscores the value of collaborative

care arrangements that link mental health treatment to primary and specialty medical care.

Integrated Review of the Evidence

Convergent Findings Across Studies

Five findings emerge with substantial consistency across the four studies synthesized here. First, the burden of trauma in reservation-based American Indian populations is extraordinarily high by any standard of comparison. Manson et al. (2005) documented lifetime any-trauma rates of 62–70% across two tribes. Ehlers et al. (2022) reported that 94% of a Southern California community sample had experienced significant life-threatening trauma. John-Henderson and Ginty (2023), working with a pan-tribal sample, found mean adverse childhood experience scores substantially elevated relative to general population norms.

Second, historical loss operates as a distinct construct with independent clinical relevance. Kaufman et al. (2013) documented that culturally informed measures, including alternative measures of economic well-being, significantly improved statistical models of depression beyond standard demographic controls. Ehlers et al. (2022) showed that the Historical Loss Associated Symptoms Scale predicted suicidal acts independent of PTSD diagnosis and gender. John-Henderson and Ginty (2023) found that a profile characterized by high frequency of thoughts about historical loss combined with high childhood trauma predicted a twelve-fold increase in risk for reporting adverse mental health conditions.

Third, family history matters substantially. Kaufman et al. (2013) found that natal family history of violence, suicide or depression, and drug or alcohol problems predicted past-year major depressive episode robustly. The Southwest tribe showed a particularly strong association between family violence history and depression—a finding rendered clinically striking by the cultural context in which the direct expression of anger is traditionally discouraged.

Fourth, standard sociodemographic correlates of depression that operate in the general population do not consistently predict outcomes in American Indian samples. Kaufman et al. (2013) reported that income, education, and employment did little to explain variation in past-year major depressive episode in either studied tribe. Manson et al. (2005) similarly reported that poverty and lower education did not confer elevated trauma exposure risk in the expected direction; in some cases, higher educational attainment was associated with greater trauma exposure,

possibly reflecting greater mobility and exposure to off-reservation environments or the friction associated with educational discordance from peers.

Fifth, cultural spirituality and cultural connection emerge as clinically significant but not uniformly protective. Kaufman et al. (2013) documented that adherence to cultural spirituality was strongly and negatively associated with past-year major depressive episode in the Northern Plains tribe but showed only weaker and less consistent associations in the Southwest tribe. Whitbeck et al. (2002, as cited in Kaufman et al., 2013) theorized that in discriminatory environments, high levels of American Indian identity might paradoxically be associated with greater dissonance and isolation.

Divergent and Contested Findings

The studies also disagree, in ways that clinicians should understand. The relationship between historical loss and specific outcomes appears to depend heavily on the outcome measured and the sample studied. Ehlers et al. (2022) found that historical loss associated symptoms, but not the frequency of thoughts about historical loss itself, predicted suicidal acts. John-Henderson and Ginty (2023), by contrast, treated frequency of thoughts about historical loss as the primary variable and found that the profile characterized by high frequency of thoughts, combined with high childhood trauma, most strongly predicted adverse mental health outcomes. These findings are not incompatible—both are consistent with a general view that historical loss matters clinically—but they highlight that the specific dimension of historical loss most relevant to clinical outcomes may vary across samples and outcomes.

The role of community-level factors also varies. Kaufman et al. (2013) documented significant unmeasured community-level variation in depression risk in the Northern Plains tribe but only marginal community-level variation in the Southwest tribe. This inter-tribal difference may reflect differences in community structure, social integration, or the effective granularity of the census block group as a measure of community, but it cautions against assuming that community-level effects will be equally detectable across tribal settings.

Findings on gender are also mixed. Manson et al. (2005) documented an unusual absence of the standard gender difference in overall trauma exposure. Ehlers et al. (2022) found that in unadjusted analyses, women were more likely to report suicidal thoughts than men, but that this difference did not remain statistically significant in adjusted models that included PTSD diagnosis. Suicide deaths in the Ehlers et al. sample were more common among men (three of four verified deaths), consistent

with the general pattern of gender differences in suicide mortality even where gender differences in ideation are less consistent.

Synthesis Table of Key Findings

The following table summarizes principal empirical findings across the four synthesized studies. It is an original synthesis, not a reproduction of any published table.

Domain	Kaufman et al. (2013)	Manson et al. (2005)	Ehlers et al. (2022)	John-Henderson & Ginty (2023)
Population	Southwest and Northern Plains reservation tribes	Southwest and Northern Plains reservation tribes	Southern California reservation community	Pan-tribal U.S. sample (90+ tribal affiliations)
Primary outcome	Past-year DSM-IV MDE	Lifetime trauma exposure	Suicidal thoughts and acts, PTSD	Cardiometabolic and mental health conditions
Key finding on demographics	Standard demographics poorly predict MDE	Education positively, not negatively, associated with trauma	PTSD strongly predicts suicidal behavior	Standard demographics do not fully explain profile membership
Key finding on family/childhood	Family history of suicide/depression robustly predicts MDE	Marital status modifies trauma risk	Assaultive trauma associated with suicidal behavior	Profile combining high ACEs and high historical loss thoughts confers 12x mental health risk
Key finding on culture/spirituality	Cultural spirituality protective (strong in NP, weaker in SW)	Cultural context shapes trauma expression	Historical loss associated symptoms predict suicidal acts	High historical loss thoughts differentiate risk profiles
Key finding on community	Significant unmeasured community variation in NP tribe	Higher rates of witnessed violence and physical attack than U.S. general population	94% report significant lifetime trauma	Cluster analysis identifies distinct trauma profiles

Domain	Kaufman et al. (2013)	Manson et al. (2005)	Ehlers et al. (2022)	John-Henderson & Ginty (2023)
Methodological approach	Multilevel logistic regression	Structured interview, comparison to NCS	SSAGA-based assessment, historical loss scales	Cluster analysis, binary logistic regression

Professional Interpretation and Critical Analysis

What the Collective Evidence Means for the Field

Taken together, the four studies synthesized here support several conclusions that carry substantial implications for how the field of clinical psychology should approach work with American Indian clients. The first and most fundamental conclusion is that psychiatric epidemiology, and by extension clinical formulation, in American Indian communities cannot rest on individual-level, decontextualized diagnostic assessment alone. Kaufman et al. (2013) demonstrate this empirically by showing that culturally informed measures dramatically improve statistical prediction of major depressive episode beyond what is achieved by standard demographics. But the point is deeper than statistical model fit. It concerns the validity of the diagnostic construct itself when applied without cultural embedding.

Consider the finding that hunting and fishing endorsement predicted higher risk of major depressive episode in the Southwest tribe, where these are not traditional subsistence activities, while pastoral activity endorsement (raising sheep or cattle) predicted higher risk in the Northern Plains tribe, where these are similarly atypical. This pattern is difficult to interpret in individual terms; it looks less like a substantive relationship between subsistence activity and mood and more like a marker of some form of cultural discordance—perhaps engagement in activities that pull the individual away from the culturally sanctioned patterns of their tribe. Whatever the mechanism, the finding indicates that variables which appear substantively similar across tribes (both "traditional economic activities") may carry very different clinical meanings depending on cultural context.

The second major conclusion concerns the temporal frame of clinical formulation. Contemporary psychology's diagnostic apparatus is organized largely around individual biography: age of onset, precipitating events, prior episodes, current symptoms. The evidence reviewed here indicates that in American Indian communities, this frame is insufficient. Historical loss operates as an ongoing,

present-day source of distress—clients think about these losses daily, weekly, or at significant times, and these thoughts provoke measurable emotional consequences. Childhood trauma exposures cluster in these communities partly as intergenerational sequelae of historical wounding. A comprehensive assessment attends to the client's lifetime, to their family's history across generations, and to the collective historical experiences of their community.

The third conclusion concerns the specific role of culture as a clinical variable. The evidence resists both the romantic view that culture is straightforwardly protective and the reductive view that culture is merely descriptive background. Kaufman et al. (2013) documented that cultural spirituality was strongly protective in the Northern Plains tribe but not consistently so in the Southwest tribe. This tribe-specific variability implies that clinicians cannot simply prescribe "cultural connection" as a general intervention; they must attend to what specific forms of cultural connection matter in the client's specific tribal and community context.

Methodological Strengths of the Evidence Base

Several strengths of the reviewed studies deserve explicit acknowledgment. The AI-SUPERPFP project on which Kaufman et al. (2013) and Manson et al. (2005) both draw employed rigorous population-based sampling from tribal rolls, achieved response rates of 74–77% that compare favorably with major national surveys, used interviewers who were themselves tribal members, and incorporated extensive cultural adaptation of diagnostic instruments. The multilevel analyses in Kaufman et al. (2013) represent a methodological advance in applying contextual epidemiology to American Indian mental health. The Manson et al. (2005) direct comparison with National Comorbidity Survey data provides an unusually clean basis for identifying the ways American Indian trauma exposure differs from that in the general population. Ehlers et al. (2022) applied the well-validated Semi-Structured Assessment for the Genetics of Alcoholism and combined self-report data with community-verified suicide deaths. John-Henderson and Ginty (2023) employed community-based participatory principles, engaged a community advisory board, and used cluster analysis to identify empirically derived profiles rather than assuming a priori categories.

Methodological Limitations and Interpretive Cautions

Equally important are limitations that shape clinical interpretation. All four studies are cross-sectional, which precludes causal inference. The temporal ordering of historical loss thoughts, childhood trauma, and adult psychiatric outcomes cannot be established definitively; while it is implausible that adult depression causes childhood trauma, it is quite plausible that current depression amplifies the frequency and intensity of historical loss thoughts, creating a reciprocal rather than unidirectional relationship.

Diagnostic assessment of major depressive episode in American Indian populations poses documented challenges. Kaufman et al. (2013) note that the AI-SUPERPFP diagnostic algorithm was modified to address low concordance between the UM-CIDI and the SCID in these populations, and that even with these modifications, prevalence estimates should be considered conservative because the measure could not fully account for cultural factors. This has direct clinical implications: standard depression screening instruments may under-detect depression in American Indian clients, or may detect symptom patterns that do not map cleanly onto DSM criteria.

The measurement of historical loss and its associated symptoms, while representing an important advance, remains an evolving methodology. The Historical Loss Scale enumerates twelve specific losses, but the losses experienced by any particular tribe or individual may not be fully captured by this enumeration. The response options are ordinal but not evenly spaced. Ehlers et al. (2022) addressed this by using median splits, which preserves ordinal structure but sacrifices statistical power.

The pan-tribal sampling approach of John-Henderson and Ginty (2023), while offering breadth, aggregates across substantial tribal, geographic, and cultural heterogeneity. The authors acknowledge this, and it is important for clinicians to recognize that findings from pan-tribal samples may mask important variation across tribal contexts.

The self-report of chronic conditions in John-Henderson and Ginty (2023) is subject to underreporting of stigmatized conditions and lacks the specificity of physiological measurement. The sample of Ehlers et al. (2022) was drawn from a group of geographically contiguous small reservations in Southern California, and generalizability to other tribal contexts must be established empirically rather than assumed.

Finally, the age of the AI-SUPERPFP data used by Kaufman et al. (2013) and Manson et al. (2005)—collected between 1997 and 1999—raises reasonable questions about

currency. The authors of Kaufman et al. (2013) explicitly address this concern and argue that the underlying relationships documented likely remain stable. This is a plausible position but not one that can be confirmed without contemporary replication.

Psychological Pathways and Stress Responses

From Historical Loss to Physiological Reactivity

The mechanistic pathway from historical loss to psychological and physical health outcomes operates through several linked processes. John-Henderson and Ginty (2023) and their prior work suggest that thoughts about historical loss increase psychological stress in daily life and heighten stress reactivity to life events. This chronic activation of stress-responsive systems, when sustained over time, produces the physiological wear that has been implicated in cardiovascular and metabolic disease.

For clinicians, the practical implication is that historical loss functions clinically as a chronic stressor with measurable psychophysiological consequences, not as an abstract or purely symbolic phenomenon. A client who thinks daily about the loss of language, land, or spiritual traditions is experiencing something analogous, in physiological terms, to a client with a chronic occupational or interpersonal stressor. The intervention question is not whether the client should think about these losses—the losses are real, and cultural memory serves important functions—but whether the client has the resources, both individual and communal, to metabolize this awareness in ways that do not produce sustained physiological activation.

The Historical Trauma Response

The historical trauma response, as originally described by Brave Heart (2003, as cited in John-Henderson & Ginty, 2023), includes depression, self-destructive behavior, suicidal ideation, anxiety, anger, emotion dysregulation, and substance use. Clinically, this constellation overlaps substantially with categories familiar to psychologists—major depressive disorder, PTSD, borderline personality organization, substance use disorders—but its interpretation as a collective response to historical wounding shifts the frame of intervention. Treatment aimed only at individual symptom reduction, without addressing the collective and historical dimension, may produce partial or unstable improvements.

Case Scenario 1: Presenting Depression with Historical Dimensions

The following case is a fictional composite constructed for teaching purposes.

A 34-year-old woman, enrolled member of a Northern Plains tribe, is referred by her primary care physician for evaluation of depression. She describes six months of low mood, initial insomnia, decreased appetite with modest weight loss, and diminished interest in activities she formerly enjoyed. She denies current suicidal ideation but acknowledges past passive ideation during a period of grief following her grandmother's death two years earlier. Standard screening yields a PHQ-9 score of 17, consistent with moderately severe depression.

Initial history reveals that the client is employed as a health educator on her reservation, is married with two school-age children, and lives on the reservation. She completed a bachelor's degree at a state university and has been employed continuously since. Family history is significant for alcohol use disorder in both parents and a maternal aunt who died by suicide when the client was fifteen.

An assessment limited to this information might arrive at a straightforward formulation of major depressive disorder with contributory grief and family history. The evidence reviewed here suggests, however, that this formulation is incomplete. Fuller assessment revealed the following: The client's grandmother, whose death precipitated the identifiable onset of depressive symptoms, was a fluent speaker of the tribal language and one of the last elders to have detailed knowledge of specific ceremonial practices. Her death represented for the client not only personal loss but a specific instance of cultural loss. The client reports that she thinks daily about the fact that her own children will not learn the language from a native speaker. She also reports that in the year before her grandmother's death, she declined an opportunity to spend an extended period learning ceremonies from her grandmother because of work obligations, and that she has been "sick with that decision" ever since.

Analysis. This case illustrates the clinical relevance of historical loss as a specific and identifiable component of depressive presentations in American Indian clients. The client's depression is not reducible to historical loss, but it cannot be adequately formulated without it. Standard grief work oriented toward the death of a beloved family member would address only part of what is being mourned. The client is grieving her grandmother, the ceremonial and linguistic knowledge her grandmother carried, the anticipated loss of that knowledge for her children, and her own perceived failure to receive the transmission that was available to her. The evidence from Kaufman et al. (2013) and John-Henderson and Ginty (2023) suggests that treatment planning should attend to whether the client has access to remaining cultural and linguistic resources in her community, whether ceremonial or spiritual support is available, and whether the family history of depression and suicide requires additional risk assessment beyond what standard measures suggest. The strong protective effect of cultural spirituality in the Northern Plains tribe documented by Kaufman et al. (2013) is directly relevant here: the client's engagement with tribal spiritual practice may itself be a modifiable clinical variable.

Mental Health Outcomes and the Severity Spectrum

Depression: Prevalence, Presentation, and Diagnostic Considerations

Rates of major depressive episode in reservation-based American Indian populations, as reported in the AI-SUPERPFP work synthesized by Kaufman et al. (2013), are estimated at 10.7% lifetime and 6.5% past-year in a Southwest tribe, and 7.8% lifetime and 4.3% past-year in a Northern Plains tribe. These estimates are lower than the corresponding rates from the National Comorbidity Survey Replication (16.1% lifetime and 6.7% past-year). The apparent underrepresentation is understood by the AI-SUPERPFP team as likely conservative, reflecting the methodological difficulty of applying DSM criteria that were not developed with reference to the cultural expression of depression in these populations (Beals, Manson, Whitesell, Mitchell, et al., 2005, as cited in Kaufman et al., 2013).

For clinicians, this underdetection has practical consequences. Structured diagnostic assessments may miss cases of clinically significant depression in American Indian clients whose symptom expression does not conform closely to the operational definitions embedded in Western instruments. Manson (2003, as cited in Kaufman et al., 2013) and others have emphasized that the cultural meaning of depression, and

the language available to describe it, differs across tribal contexts. Attentive clinical interviewing that inquires about somatic, spiritual, and relational dimensions of distress—not only mood, anhedonia, and cognitive symptoms—may capture presentations that standardized measures miss.

PTSD in the Context of Elevated Trauma Exposure

Given the extraordinary rates of trauma exposure documented across all four studies, elevated rates of PTSD in American Indian populations are unsurprising. Ehlers et al. (2022) reported that of participants who had experienced significant trauma in their community sample, approximately one-third qualified for a lifetime PTSD diagnosis. PTSD in this sample was strongly and independently associated with both suicidal thoughts and suicidal acts, remaining significant when adjusted for gender, assaultive trauma exposure, and historical loss variables.

The pathway from trauma to PTSD to suicidal behavior is not unique to American Indian populations, but its scale in these communities is distinctive. The Manson et al. (2005) documentation of witnessed violence and physical attack rates at two to three times national averages, combined with the Ehlers et al. (2022) documentation of 94% lifetime trauma exposure in a Southern California community, suggests that clinicians working with reservation-based American Indian clients should anticipate high rates of PTSD and should systematically screen for it. The frequency of witnessed traumatic events—not only direct victimization—also requires attention; witnessing violence to family members and community members is common and clinically significant.

Suicide

American Indian and Alaska Native populations experience the highest burden of suicide of any racial or ethnic group in the United States (Karaye, 2022; Leavitt et al., 2018; Wilson et al., 2022, all as cited in Ehlers et al., 2022). Rates exceed those of the general U.S. population by more than 50% across all age groups. However, considerable variability exists across tribes and regions, and this variability is itself clinically significant. As Ehlers et al. (2022) note, the National Action Alliance for Suicide Prevention has called for expanded research attention to the collective and structural dimensions of Indigenous suicide, moving beyond individual-level risk factors alone.

Ehlers et al. (2022) provide important empirical clarification of the relationships among historical trauma, PTSD, and suicide. In their community sample, PTSD

diagnosis was significantly and independently associated with both suicidal thoughts and suicidal acts. The Historical Loss Associated Symptoms Scale predicted suicidal acts even after adjustment for PTSD and gender, while the Historical Loss Scale itself (measuring the frequency of thoughts about losses) did not. This is a subtle but important distinction: it is not the mere presence of historical loss thinking that carries suicide risk, but the emotional and psychological symptomatology provoked by that thinking.

Case Scenario 2: PTSD, Historical Loss, and Suicide Risk Assessment

The following case is a fictional composite constructed for teaching purposes.

A 27-year-old man is brought to an emergency department by family members after they discovered him with a firearm in a locked room. He had left a note describing feelings of failure and expressing that his family would be better off without him. He is now medically stable, alert, and cooperative with evaluation. He is an enrolled member of a Southwest tribe, recently returned to the reservation after four years of active-duty military service that included two deployments to combat zones. He has been experiencing intrusive memories, hyperarousal, avoidance of reminders of combat, and profoundly disturbed sleep for approximately eighteen months, worsening since his return home six months ago.

Initial assessment reveals classic PTSD symptomatology and a serious, aborted suicide attempt. Standard risk assessment would identify multiple risk factors: recent attempt, access to lethal means, active PTSD symptoms, male gender, veteran status, and social withdrawal.

Deeper assessment adds substantially to this picture. The client describes that on his return to the reservation, he attended a ceremony intended to welcome and cleanse returning warriors, but he found himself unable to complete it because he was overwhelmed by feelings that he "did not belong there anymore." He reports that his grandfather, who would have been the traditional guide for this process, died while he was deployed, and no one in his immediate family has both the cultural knowledge and the standing to guide him. He reports that he thinks daily about the loss of his grandfather, about his own alienation from the tribal community he was fighting to protect, and about broader losses—of language, of ceremony, of the community he remembers from childhood. He describes intense anger, shame, sadness, and anxiety when he thinks about these things.

Analysis. This case illustrates the specific empirical finding from Ehlers et al. (2022) that historical loss associated symptoms predict suicidal acts independent of PTSD. This client's suicide risk is not fully captured by attention to PTSD alone, though PTSD is a critical and treatable component. His risk is compounded by the intense symptomatic response he experiences when he engages with historical losses—losses that are simultaneously personal (his grandfather) and collective (cultural knowledge, community integration, ceremonial practice). Effective safety planning and treatment engagement will need to address the ceremonial and cultural dimensions of his reintegration, not only the trauma-focused psychotherapy indicated for his PTSD. The convergent evidence from Manson et al. (2005), showing high rates of combat exposure among tribal men, and from Kaufman et al. (2013), showing the importance of cultural spirituality in the Southwest and Northern Plains tribes, together support a treatment approach that integrates trauma-focused psychotherapy with active facilitation of connection to tribal spiritual and community resources.

Substance Use Disorders

Although substance use disorders were not the primary focus of any of the four studies, they thread through the evidence base. Kaufman et al. (2013) documented that family history of alcohol or drug problems predicted past-year major depressive episode. John-Henderson and Ginty (2023) noted that the historical trauma response includes substance use as a common feature. Manson et al. (2005) discussed the potential role of alcohol in escalating interpersonal conflict to assaultive violence. Ehlers et al. (2022) drew on data from a broader research program that has extensively examined substance use in American Indian communities.

For the clinician, the practical implication is that comorbid substance use disorders should be systematically assessed in American Indian clients presenting with depression, PTSD, or suicidal ideation, and that treatment planning should recognize the potentially bidirectional relationships among trauma, historical loss, substance use, and mental health outcomes.

Cardiometabolic Consequences

John-Henderson and Ginty (2023) extend the analysis of trauma and historical loss to cardiometabolic health, documenting that a profile characterized by average frequency of thoughts about historical loss combined with high childhood trauma predicted a threefold increased risk of self-reported cardiometabolic conditions over the past year. Manson et al. (2005) similarly note that trauma exposure is associated with alterations in central nervous system function, autonomic function, and hormonal regulation that elevate cardiovascular risk, and that these pathways may contribute to the elevated cardiovascular mortality documented in American Indian populations.

For psychologists, this cross-domain finding has three practical implications. First, it strengthens the case for collaborative care arrangements that link psychological treatment with primary and specialty medical care. Second, it suggests that psychological interventions that reduce stress reactivity, improve emotion regulation, and enhance social and cultural connection may have physical health benefits beyond their psychological effects. Third, it supports the ethical position that neglecting the psychological correlates of physical health disparities is itself a contributor to those disparities.

System-Level and Contextual Psychological Effects

Community Variation and Its Clinical Significance

The Kaufman et al. (2013) finding of significant unmeasured community-level variation in depression risk in the Northern Plains tribe—a variation not explained by measured community characteristics such as poverty rate or educational attainment—points to an important clinical reality. Communities differ in ways that matter for individual mental health but that are not easily captured by standard socioeconomic measures. The presence of a trusted local clinician, an active traditional healing network, effective community leadership, active language programs, or other collective resources may modify individual risk in ways that clinicians must recognize but that population-level census data cannot detect.

The clinical implication is that psychologists working with American Indian clients should invest in learning about the specific communities in which their clients live and work. A client who moves between two communities, or who lives in a community that has recently experienced major changes—loss of a traditional healer, closure of a health service, opening of a new cultural program—may be affected by

these changes in ways that are not visible in individual clinical assessment but that are visible to community members.

The Culture of the Clinic Versus the Culture of the Community

Gone's (2007, as cited in Kaufman et al., 2013) formulation of the "divergence between the culture of the clinic and the culture of the community" deserves sustained attention. Standard clinical assessment relies on information gathered in the clinic, from the individual, using instruments developed largely with reference to non-Indigenous populations. Community life, in contrast, is organized around kinship, ceremony, extended family obligations, subsistence and economic activities specific to the tribe, and forms of authority and healing that may not be visible in the clinical encounter. The client who presents to a clinician moves between these two cultures, and the assessment that fails to attend to the community culture is likely to be both incomplete and, in some respects, misleading.

Access to Care and Service Utilization

While the four studies synthesized here do not focus primarily on service utilization, the broader AI-SUPERPFP work and related research indicate that American Indian populations use both biomedical services and traditional healing options, often in combination (Beals et al., 2006; Novins et al., 2004, both as cited in Kaufman et al., 2013). Kaufman et al. (2013) explicitly recommend that facilitating engagement in traditional healing, which is commonly used alongside biomedical treatment in these communities, is an appropriate component of care. This recommendation implies that psychologists should be prepared to work in a manner that respects and, where clinically appropriate, actively supports the client's engagement with traditional healing.

Case Scenario 3: The Multilevel Formulation

The following case is a fictional composite constructed for teaching purposes.

A 41-year-old woman presents to a community mental health center on a reservation with symptoms of depression, anxiety, and problematic alcohol use. She has been evaluated in the same clinic twice previously, three and five years earlier, for similar concerns. Each prior episode of care lasted three to four sessions before she discontinued. She reports finding the clinic "helpful in some ways but not really understanding what I'm dealing with."

Standard formulation of this case would identify a chronic and relapsing pattern of depression and alcohol use disorder, with poor treatment retention. Fuller formulation, drawing on the evidence reviewed here, adds substantially to this picture. The client is one of six siblings, four of whom have died prematurely—two from alcohol-related liver disease, one from suicide, and one in a motor vehicle collision in which alcohol was involved. Her mother attended boarding school and rarely spoke to her children about it; her father was chronically unemployed and physically abusive during her childhood. She herself did not attend boarding school but experienced sexual abuse by an uncle from ages nine through twelve. She currently lives with an adult daughter and two grandchildren. Her community has been affected in the past several years by the closure of a health clinic and the departure of a traditional healer with whom she had a longstanding relationship.

Analysis. This case illustrates the value of a multilevel formulation drawing on all four synthesized studies. At the individual level, the client has extensive childhood trauma (Adverse Childhood Experiences would likely score in the highest range) and a family history dense with premature death, suicide, and substance use—each of which Kaufman et al. (2013) identified as robust predictors of major depressive episode. At the historical-loss level, her mother's boarding school experience and the family disruption that followed represent one specific mechanism by which historical trauma is transmitted intergenerationally, consistent with the findings of Moon-Riley et al. (2019, as cited in John-Henderson & Ginty, 2023). Her John-Henderson and Ginty (2023) profile is likely to be the highest-risk profile—high childhood trauma combined with high historical loss thoughts—associated with a twelve-fold increased risk of adverse mental health conditions. At the community level, the recent loss of a healthcare resource and a trusted traditional healer represents a change that, following Kaufman et al. (2013), would be expected to elevate community-level risk beyond individual-level factors. Prior clinical episodes may have been unsuccessful in part because they addressed only the individual level. A formulation that integrates all three levels, and a treatment plan that addresses each—individual trauma-focused therapy, engagement of family and community resources, attention to whether the traditional healer or an equivalent resource can be re-engaged—is more likely to produce sustained improvement.

Clinical Implications for Psychologists

Assessment

The evidence synthesized here has substantial implications for how psychologists conduct assessment with American Indian clients. Six specific implications deserve emphasis.

First, standard sociodemographic assessment is necessary but insufficient. The Kaufman et al. (2013) demonstration that income, education, and employment do little to explain variation in past-year major depressive episode in the studied tribes means that clinicians should not rely on these variables as the primary basis for risk stratification. Attention to economic and social well-being should be assessed with instruments and questions that reflect the actual structure of the client's economic life, which may include kin-based support networks, subsistence activities, and traditional exchange relationships that are not captured by standard income measures.

Second, family history assessment should be extensive and multigenerational. Kaufman et al. (2013) documented robust associations between natal family history (violence, suicide, depression, substance use) and past-year major depressive episode. John-Henderson and Ginty (2023) documented the powerful additive effects of childhood trauma with historical loss thoughts. A thorough family history should include not only immediate family psychiatric history but also boarding school attendance (of the client, parents, and grandparents), forced relocation, and other markers of historical trauma exposure at the family level.

Third, trauma assessment should be systematic and comprehensive. Given the extraordinary rates of trauma exposure documented across all four studies, and the specific patterns of witnessed violence, interpersonal violence, and physical attack documented by Manson et al. (2005), clinicians should systematically inquire about the full range of potentially traumatic experiences rather than relying on client-initiated disclosure. Standardized trauma inventories can be useful but should be supplemented by attention to trauma types that may be more common in American Indian communities.

Fourth, historical loss should be assessed as a distinct clinical variable. The Historical Loss Scale and Historical Loss Associated Symptoms Scale provide validated instruments for this purpose, though clinicians may adapt these into clinical interview form. The critical distinction, as Ehlers et al. (2022) demonstrated,

is between the frequency of thoughts about historical loss and the symptomatic response to those thoughts—the latter appears to carry the greater clinical significance for at least some outcomes.

Fifth, cultural connection should be assessed as a modifiable clinical variable. Kaufman et al. (2013) showed that adherence to cultural spirituality was strongly protective in the Northern Plains tribe. The specific dimensions to assess include engagement with spiritual practices, connection to language, participation in ceremony, relationships with elders and traditional teachers, and identification with tribal and cultural community.

Sixth, community context should be included in assessment. Recent changes in the client's community—loss of resources, deaths of community members, political or economic changes, changes in access to traditional healing—may be clinically significant even if not obvious from an individual clinical interview.

Formulation

Formulation should integrate these assessment domains into a coherent case understanding that attends to individual, family, historical, and community levels simultaneously. A useful framing is to ask, for each client: What are the individual factors driving distress? What family history and family dynamics amplify or buffer these? What historical wounds and losses are being reactivated in the client's current experience? What community-level factors—resources and stressors—shape the client's daily life? A formulation that addresses all four levels is more likely to guide effective intervention than one that addresses only individual factors.

Treatment Planning

Treatment planning implications follow directly from the multilevel formulation. Evidence-based individual psychotherapies (cognitive behavioral therapy, interpersonal psychotherapy, trauma-focused psychotherapies, and others) can and should be offered, adapted as needed for cultural relevance. Kaufman et al. (2013) explicitly note that family-focused interventions may be appropriate given the salience of family dynamics in depression risk. Collaborative care models, given emerging evidence for their effectiveness in stressed communities (Woltmann et al., 2012, as cited in Kaufman et al., 2013), may be particularly appropriate. Facilitation of engagement with traditional healing, when the client is interested and when such healing is available, is recommended by Kaufman et al. (2013) as an appropriate component of care.

The evidence also suggests that treatment engagement and retention may be particularly important considerations. Kaufman et al. (2013) note that clinical programs may need to be "more assertive in their engagement and follow-up work to ensure that patients receive the full benefit of clinical services despite the considerable adversities that they face in their communities." Attention to logistical barriers (transportation, childcare, work schedules), relational continuity (retention of the same clinician across visits), and cultural fit of the treatment approach may all support retention.

Case Scenario 4: Adapting Evidence-Based Treatment

The following case is a fictional composite constructed for teaching purposes.

A 19-year-old woman presents with symptoms consistent with PTSD following a sexual assault by a family friend approximately eight months prior to presentation. She is an enrolled member of a Southern California tribe, lives on her reservation, and is currently taking classes at a community college while working part-time. She has been referred by a physician at the Indian Health Service clinic where she went for care following the assault.

The clinician is trained in Cognitive Processing Therapy and considers this an appropriate evidence-based intervention. The client agrees to begin treatment.

Analysis. This case illustrates the practical work of adapting evidence-based treatment. Cognitive Processing Therapy is a well-supported treatment for PTSD, and there is no reason to withhold it from this client. At the same time, thoughtful adaptation is warranted. Attention should be paid to whether the client wishes to include family members in any aspect of treatment or in the safety and support structure around treatment; the emphasis of Kaufman et al. (2013) and Ehlers et al. (2022) on family dynamics suggests that this is more than a courtesy. The clinician should assess whether traditional or ceremonial practices for addressing sexual violence, purification, or healing are available in the client's community, and whether the client wishes to engage them alongside or as part of clinical treatment. The written trauma account, if used, may benefit from being framed within the client's cultural understanding of narrative, testimony, and truth-telling. Attention to the specific losses—of trust, of safety in her community, of connection to a family friend who violated her—may be enriched by attending to how these losses connect to broader historical themes. The evidence does not require that Cognitive Processing Therapy be abandoned or radically restructured; it requires that its delivery be culturally responsive and integrated with other resources available to the client.

Special Considerations in Suicide Risk Assessment

The evidence from Ehlers et al. (2022) has specific implications for suicide risk assessment. Standard risk factors—prior attempts, current ideation with plan, access to lethal means, PTSD, depression, substance use, recent losses—apply as they do in other populations. In addition, clinicians should systematically assess the symptomatic response to historical loss, not only the frequency of thoughts about it. A client who experiences intense anger, sadness, or anxiety when thinking about historical losses may be at elevated risk beyond what standard risk assessment captures. Suicide safety planning should attend to cultural and spiritual resources as protective factors that can be actively engaged, not only to means restriction and hotline access.

Ethical Considerations in Practice

The ethical practice of psychology with American Indian clients raises considerations that go beyond generic professional ethics and require sustained attention to specific dimensions of cultural, community, and historical context.

Competence

The American Psychological Association Ethics Code requires that psychologists practice within the boundaries of their competence and take steps to develop competence in areas where they undertake to work. For work with American Indian clients, competence includes more than familiarity with generic multicultural counseling frameworks. It requires knowledge of the specific history of colonization and its psychological sequelae, familiarity with the empirical literature on historical trauma and its measurement, awareness of the substantial heterogeneity across tribes, and readiness to engage with cultural resources including traditional healing.

The evidence reviewed here suggests specific components of competence: understanding that standard sociodemographic variables may not predict outcomes in the expected direction (Kaufman et al., 2013; Manson et al., 2005); recognizing that DSM-based diagnostic instruments may under-detect depression in these populations (Kaufman et al., 2013); knowing that historical loss operates as a clinically significant variable distinct from individual trauma (Ehlers et al., 2022; John-Henderson & Ginty, 2023); and understanding that community-level factors modify individual risk (Kaufman et al., 2013).

Clinicians who do not have this competence and who nonetheless work with American Indian clients have a specific ethical obligation to develop it through supervision, consultation, continuing education, and, where feasible, direct engagement with communities.

Informed Consent

Informed consent in this context includes ensuring that clients understand the nature of the assessment and treatment, its potential benefits and risks, its limitations, and the alternatives available. Two specific considerations deserve emphasis. First, when assessment or treatment will involve exploration of traumatic material—including historical trauma material—clients should be informed of the potential for temporary intensification of distress and provided with clear plans for managing this. Second, when the psychologist uses instruments or approaches that have not been extensively validated in the client's specific population, this should be disclosed.

Confidentiality in Small Communities

Confidentiality raises particular challenges in reservation and small community contexts. The clinician's role, the client's presence in the clinic, and, potentially, the content of clinical discussions may become known within the community through channels that would not exist in urban anonymous practice. Ehlers et al. (2022) note that researchers working with tribal communities have often used general descriptors rather than specific tribal names to protect community-level confidentiality, following established practice in the field (Norton & Manson, 1996, as cited in Kaufman et al., 2013). Clinicians should discuss confidentiality with clients in ways that acknowledge these realities, address the specific concerns that may arise in small-community practice, and negotiate practical arrangements that protect the client while respecting the community context.

Multiple Relationships

The likelihood of multiple relationships is elevated in reservation and small-community practice, and rigid application of prohibitions against multiple relationships developed in urban anonymous practice may not fit these contexts. A psychologist who is themselves a member of the tribal community in which they practice may have kinship, ceremonial, employment, or social relationships with clients or potential clients. Even a psychologist who is not a community member may encounter clients in community contexts—health fairs, ceremonies, community meetings—in ways that require thoughtful navigation. The ethical principle is not that multiple relationships must be avoided at all costs, but that they must be managed in ways that do not exploit the client or impair the psychologist's judgment.

Cultural Responsiveness

Cultural responsiveness is not a discrete ethical requirement but a pervasive quality of ethical practice with clients whose cultural background differs from the mainstream assumptions embedded in psychological training. It includes willingness to learn from the client, humility about the limits of one's cultural knowledge, respect for traditional healing and other cultural resources, and readiness to adapt one's practice in ways consistent with evidence and respectful of the client's cultural context.

Scope of Practice

Psychologists working with American Indian clients should attend to the boundaries of their scope of practice. Specific considerations include recognizing when consultation with traditional healers or cultural authorities is warranted (with the client's consent), recognizing when the psychologist's own cultural background creates limitations in understanding the client's experience, and being willing to refer or collaborate when the client's needs exceed the psychologist's competence.

Case Scenario 5: Navigating Ethical Complexity

The following case is a fictional composite constructed for teaching purposes.

A licensed psychologist begins providing services one day per week at a tribal health clinic through a contract arrangement. She is not herself American Indian. Within the first month, she recognizes that one of her clients is the mother of a child who attends school with her own children in a nearby town, and that she has interacted with this mother on multiple prior occasions at school events. The client has not mentioned this connection. The client presents with depression and reports substantial ambivalence about attending clinical services, noting that "people talk" in the community.

Analysis. Several ethical considerations converge here. The multiple-relationship issue is present but is unlikely to be resolvable by simple avoidance—the community is small enough, and the psychologist's dual role as school parent and tribal contract clinician creates a structural rather than incidental overlap. Confidentiality concerns are amplified by the client's own recognition that "people talk," and the psychologist's presence in shared social contexts may be experienced by the client as a threat to confidentiality even if the psychologist would never violate it. Cultural responsiveness requires that the psychologist recognize that her own cultural background positions her differently in the community than a tribal member clinician would be. Competence considerations may require that she seek supervision or consultation with a clinician experienced in this specific tribal context.

An ethically sound approach would include transparent discussion with the client about the shared social context, explicit renegotiation of confidentiality with attention to how community interactions will be managed, consultation with a colleague experienced in small-community practice, and, if the client wishes, exploration of whether a different clinical arrangement (a different clinician, or a different setting) would serve her better. The principle is not that the psychologist must refuse to see this client but that the ethical complexity must be actively engaged rather than ignored.

Practice Recommendations

The following recommendations translate the evidence reviewed here into concrete guidance for clinical practice. Recommendations are presented in three tiers reflecting the strength of empirical support. Well-supported recommendations are directly derived from the empirical findings of the studies reviewed. Moderately supported recommendations are consistent with the reviewed evidence and with related literature but represent inference or extrapolation. Tentative recommendations reflect promising directions that warrant further empirical validation.

Well-Supported Recommendations

Systematic assessment of trauma exposure across the full range of trauma types. Given the documented rates of witnessed violence, physical attack, interpersonal violence, and other trauma exposures at levels substantially exceeding general population norms (Manson et al., 2005; Ehlers et al., 2022), clinicians should systematically assess exposure to a comprehensive range of trauma types rather than relying on client-initiated disclosure.

Assessment of family history of psychiatric disorder, suicide, and substance use across multiple generations. Kaufman et al. (2013) documented robust associations between natal family history and adult depression. Family history assessment should include grandparents' generation where possible, with specific attention to boarding school attendance and other markers of intergenerational historical trauma (John-Henderson & Ginty, 2023).

Assessment of adverse childhood experiences using validated instruments. The strong association documented by John-Henderson and Ginty (2023) between childhood trauma and adult mental and cardiometabolic health outcomes supports systematic use of validated childhood trauma instruments, with recognition of the limitations of summary scores that treat all adverse experiences as equivalent.

Assessment of historical loss as a distinct clinical variable, attending to both frequency of thoughts and associated symptoms. The empirical distinction between the Historical Loss Scale and the Historical Loss Associated Symptoms Scale, and the demonstration by Ehlers et al. (2022) that symptomatic response is particularly relevant to suicide risk, supports assessment of both dimensions.

Integration of cultural spirituality and traditional healing into treatment planning when the client desires this. Kaufman et al. (2013) documented the protective association of cultural spirituality, and the literature on combined use of biomedical and traditional healing (Novins et al., 2004, as cited in Kaufman et al., 2013) supports active engagement of traditional resources.

Systematic PTSD assessment in clients presenting with depression, substance use, or suicidal ideation. The strong association between PTSD and suicidal behavior documented by Ehlers et al. (2022), combined with the elevated trauma exposure documented across studies, supports systematic PTSD screening.

Moderately Supported Recommendations

Multilevel case formulation that explicitly addresses individual, family, historical, and community levels. This recommendation is consistent with the conceptual framework advanced by Kaufman et al. (2013) and with the empirical findings across studies, though it represents integration and extrapolation beyond specific empirical demonstrations.

Collaborative care arrangements linking mental health services with primary and specialty medical care. The documented associations between trauma, historical loss, mental health, and cardiometabolic outcomes (John-Henderson & Ginty, 2023; Manson et al., 2005) support integrated care, and collaborative care models have empirical support in stressed communities (Woltmann et al., 2012, as cited in Kaufman et al., 2013).

Active facilitation of family and kin engagement in treatment when the client desires. The prominence of family factors in the reviewed evidence supports family involvement, though the specific form and dosing of family engagement remains an area for further empirical work.

Adaptation of evidence-based individual psychotherapies for cultural relevance rather than wholesale replacement. This recommendation reflects a general principle in the cultural adaptation literature and is consistent with the reviewed evidence, though the specific adaptations for particular tribal contexts require further empirical validation.

Attention to community-level context in individual clinical work. Kaufman et al. (2013) demonstrated community-level variation in depression risk, and the general principle of community awareness follows, though specific mechanisms of community-level intervention within individual clinical work require further specification.

Tentative Recommendations

Use of specific historical loss and cultural connection assessment instruments in routine clinical practice. Instruments such as the Historical Loss Scale and Historical Loss Associated Symptoms Scale have empirical support but have not been extensively validated for routine clinical use across diverse tribal contexts.

Development of local clinical practice guidelines through community-based participatory processes. The John-Henderson and Ginty (2023) use of a Community Advisory Board illustrates one approach to community engagement, but the

translation of research-oriented community engagement into clinical practice guideline development is a promising but not fully developed direction.

Systematic assessment of cultural discordance—engagement in activities atypical for one's tribal context—as a potential clinical marker. The Kaufman et al. (2013) finding of elevated depression risk associated with atypical subsistence activities is intriguing but requires replication and further interpretation before it can guide routine clinical practice.

Integration of ceremonial and cultural rituals into safety planning and crisis response. This recommendation is consistent with the evidence on cultural protective factors but requires further development of specific protocols and evaluation of their effectiveness.

Future Directions and Research Gaps

The evidence reviewed here, while substantial, leaves important questions unresolved. Several priorities for future research deserve mention.

Longitudinal studies of the developmental trajectory of historical loss thoughts, symptoms, and their relationships with psychiatric outcomes are needed. All four studies reviewed here are cross-sectional, and while the theoretical and empirical case for the clinical significance of historical loss is compelling, causal inference requires prospective designs. Ehlers et al. (2022) explicitly call for such work.

The relationship between historical loss and specific psychiatric outcomes needs further specification. The finding that historical loss associated symptoms, but not the frequency of thoughts, predicted suicidal acts in Ehlers et al. (2022), contrasted with the John-Henderson and Ginty (2023) findings emphasizing the frequency of thoughts, suggests that different outcomes may be sensitive to different dimensions of historical loss. Systematic examination across outcomes would clarify these relationships.

The mechanisms linking historical loss and childhood trauma to physical health outcomes require further elucidation. John-Henderson and Ginty (2023) advance biological, behavioral, and psychological pathways, but the relative contribution of each pathway, and the specific points at which intervention might be most effective, remain incompletely characterized.

Cultural and community-level interventions have promising theoretical foundations but limited empirical evaluation. Gone and Looking (2011, as cited in

John-Henderson & Ginty, 2023) argue that interventions restoring cultural connection may address the psychological and emotional wounding associated with historical trauma. Rigorous evaluation of such interventions, including attention to their effects on both mental and physical health outcomes, is a priority.

Inter-tribal variation deserves systematic study rather than treatment as noise. The variation documented between the Southwest and Northern Plains tribes across multiple domains in the AI-SUPERPFP work, and the further heterogeneity implied by the Ehlers et al. (2022) and John-Henderson and Ginty (2023) samples, indicates that clinical guidance developed for one tribal context may not generalize to others. Research designed explicitly to characterize and interpret this variation would advance clinical practice.

Assessment instrument validation and refinement for use in American Indian populations is an ongoing need. The Kaufman et al. (2013) modifications to the AI-SUPERPFP CIDI diagnostic algorithm illustrate both the necessity and the difficulty of this work. Continued attention to whether standard instruments detect the clinically significant phenomena they are intended to detect, in American Indian samples, is warranted.

Finally, resilience and protective factors deserve empirical attention equal to that given to risk factors. John-Henderson and Ginty (2023) note that their study does not examine factors that may buffer risk. The evidence on cultural spirituality (Kaufman et al., 2013), social connection (John-Henderson et al., 2019, as cited in John-Henderson & Ginty, 2023), and cultural connection more broadly (Gone, 2013; Hartmann et al., 2019, both as cited in John-Henderson & Ginty, 2023) points toward important protective factors that warrant continued investigation and, ultimately, integration into intervention.

Conclusion

The evidence reviewed in this manuscript points to a coherent, if uncomfortable, conclusion for the practicing psychologist. Mental health and its disorders in American Indian communities cannot be adequately understood through the individual, decontextualized frame that dominates mainstream clinical training. The extraordinary rates of trauma exposure documented across studies, the intergenerational transmission of wounding from historical atrocity to current childhood adversity, the ongoing daily presence of historical loss in the lives of American Indian clients, the specific role of cultural spirituality and community context in shaping outcomes, and the ways in which standard sociodemographic correlates fail to behave as expected—all of these converge to require a different clinical stance.

That stance takes historical trauma seriously as a present-day clinical variable, not a historical footnote. It attends to family history across multiple generations, including markers of intergenerational trauma such as boarding school attendance. It assesses cultural connection as a modifiable clinical variable and treats loss of cultural resources as a genuine loss deserving therapeutic attention. It recognizes that the community from which the client comes and to which they return is part of the therapeutic field. It integrates evidence-based individual psychotherapies with active facilitation of engagement with cultural, spiritual, and traditional healing resources when clients desire this. It approaches ethical practice with sustained attention to competence, informed consent, confidentiality in small communities, the management of multiple relationships, and cultural responsiveness.

For the psychologist who accepts this stance, the reward is substantial. Clients whose experience has been inadequately captured by conventional clinical formulation may be understood more accurately. Treatment plans that have failed to produce sustained improvement may be reworked in ways that engage the full range of factors shaping the client's suffering. The gap between the culture of the clinic and the culture of the community, articulated by Gone (2007, as cited in Kaufman et al., 2013), can be at least partially bridged. The psychologist becomes a more competent, ethical, and effective clinician for a population that has historically been underserved by the mental health system.

The task is neither simple nor complete. The evidence base, while substantial and growing, has significant gaps. Inter-tribal variation is inadequately characterized. Intervention studies specifically designed for American Indian populations remain scarce. Cultural adaptation of evidence-based treatments is an evolving practice. But

the foundation is in place, and the direction is clear. The psychologist who commits to this work has the tools to practice competently and ethically, and to contribute, through practice and through advocacy, to reducing the mental health inequities that American Indian communities have carried for far too long.

References

- Beals, J., Manson, S. M., Mitchell, C. M., Spicer, P., & The AI-SUPERPFP Team. (2003). Cultural specificity and comparison in psychiatric epidemiology: Walking the tightrope in American Indian research. *Culture, Medicine and Psychiatry*, 27, 259-289. <https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1025347130953>
- Beals, J., Manson, S. M., Whitesell, N. R., Mitchell, C. M., Novins, D. K., Simpson, S., & Spicer, P. (2005). Prevalence of major depressive episode in two American Indian reservation populations: Unexpected findings with a structured interview. *American Journal of Psychiatry*, 162, 1713-1722. <https://doi.org/10.1176/appi.ajp.162.9.1713>
- Beals, J., Manson, S. M., Whitesell, N. R., Spicer, P., Novins, D. K., & Mitchell, C. M. (2005). Prevalence of DSM-IV disorders and attendant help-seeking in two American Indian reservation populations. *Archives of General Psychiatry*, 62, 99-108. <https://doi.org/10.1001/archpsyc.62.1.99>
- Beals, J., Novins, D. K., Spicer, P., Whitesell, N. R., Mitchell, C. M., & Manson, S. M. (2006). Help seeking for substance use problems in two American Indian reservation populations. *Psychiatric Services*, 57, 512-520. <https://doi.org/10.1176/appi.ps.57.4.512>
- Brave Heart, M. Y. H. (2003). The historical trauma response among natives and its relationship with substance abuse: A Lakota illustration. *Journal of Psychoactive Drugs*, 35(1), 7-13. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02791072.2003.10399988>
- Brave Heart, M. Y. H., & Bird, D. M. (2013). Historical trauma and suicide. Indian Health Service. https://www.ihs.gov/sites/telebehavioral/themes/responsive2017/display_objects/documents/slides/historicaltrauma/htsuicide0413.pdf
- Bucholz, K. K., Cadoret, R., Cloninger, C. R., Dinwiddie, S. H., Hesselbrock, V. M., Nurnberger, J. I., Jr., Reich, T., Schmidt, I., & Schuckit, M. A. (1994). A new, semi-structured psychiatric interview for use in genetic linkage studies: A report on the reliability of the SSAGA. *Journal of Studies on Alcohol*, 55(2), 149-158.

- Ehlers, C. L., Gizer, I. R., Gilder, D. A., Ellingson, J. M., & Yehuda, R. (2013). Measuring historical trauma in an American Indian community sample: Contributions of substance dependence, affective disorder, conduct disorder and PTSD. *Drug and Alcohol Dependence*, 133(1), 180-187.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.drugalcdep.2013.05.011>
- Ehlers, C. L., Yehuda, R., Gilder, D. A., Bernert, R., & Karriker-Jaffe, K. J. (2022). Trauma, historical trauma, PTSD and suicide in an American Indian community sample. *Journal of Psychiatric Research*, 156, 214-220.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpsychires.2022.10.012>
- Evans-Campbell, T. (2008). Historical trauma in American Indian/Native Alaska communities: A multilevel framework for exploring impacts on individuals, families, and communities. *Journal of Interpersonal Violence*, 23(3), 316-338.
<https://doi.org/10.1177/0886260507312290>
- Felitti, V. J., Anda, R. F., Nordenberg, D., Williamson, D. F., Spitz, A. M., Edwards, V., Koss, M. P., & Marks, J. S. (1998). Relationship of childhood abuse and household dysfunction to many of the leading causes of death in adults: The Adverse Childhood Experiences (ACE) Study. *American Journal of Preventive Medicine*, 14(4), 245-258. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0749-3797\(98\)00017-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0749-3797(98)00017-8)
- Gone, J. P. (2007). "We never was happy living like a whiteman": Mental health disparities and the postcolonial predicament in American Indian communities. *American Journal of Community Psychology*, 40, 290-300.
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10464-007-9136-x>
- Gone, J. P., Hartmann, W. E., Pomerville, A., Wendt, D. C., Klem, S. H., & Burrage, R. L. (2019). The impact of historical trauma on health outcomes for indigenous populations in the USA and Canada: A systematic review. *American Psychologist*, 74, 20-35. <https://doi.org/10.1037/amp0000338>
- Hartmann, W. E., & Gone, J. P. (2014). American Indian historical trauma: Community perspectives from two great plains medicine men. *American Journal of Community Psychology*, 54(3-4), 274-288.
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10464-014-9671-1>
- John-Henderson, N. A., & Ginty, A. T. (2023). Profiles of historical loss and childhood trauma as predictors of mental and cardiometabolic health in American Indian adults. *SSM - Mental Health*, 4, 100252.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ssmmh.2023.100252>

- Kaufman, C. E., Beals, J., Croy, C., Jiang, L., Novins, D. K., & The AI-SUPERPFP Team. (2013). Multilevel context of depression in two American Indian tribes. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology, 81*(6), 1040–1051.
<https://doi.org/10.1037/a0034342>
- Kessler, R. C., McGonagle, K. A., Zhao, S., Nelson, C. B., Hughes, M., Eshleman, S., Wittchen, H.-U., & Kendler, K. S. (1994). Lifetime and 12-month prevalence of DSM-III-R psychiatric disorders in the United States: Results from the National Comorbidity Survey. *Archives of General Psychiatry, 51*, 8–19.
<https://doi.org/10.1192/bjp.188.5.465>
- Kessler, R. C., Sonnega, A., Bromet, E., Hughes, M., & Nelson, C. B. (1995). Posttraumatic stress disorder in the National Comorbidity Study. *Archives of General Psychiatry, 52*, 1048–1060.
- Manson, S. M., Beals, J., Klein, S. A., Croy, C. D., & The AI-SUPERPFP Team. (2005). Social epidemiology of trauma among 2 American Indian reservation populations. *American Journal of Public Health, 95*(5), 851–859.
<https://doi.org/10.2105/AJPH.2004.054171>
- Norton, I. M., & Manson, S. M. (1996). Research in American Indian and Alaska Native communities: Navigating the cultural universe of values and process. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology, 64*, 856–860.
<https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-006X.64.5.856>
- Novins, D. K., Beals, J., Moore, L., Spicer, P., Manson, S. M., & The AI-SUPERPFP Team. (2004). Use of biomedical services and traditional healing options among American Indians: Sociodemographic correlates, spirituality, and ethnic identity. *Medical Care, 42*, 670–679.
<https://doi.org/10.1097/01.mlr.0000129902.29132.a6>
- Thota, A. B., Sipe, T. A., Byard, G. J., Zometa, C. S., Hahn, R. A., McKnight-Eily, L. R., Williams, S. P., et al. (2012). Collaborative care to improve the management of depressive disorders: A Community Guide systematic review and meta-analysis. *American Journal of Preventive Medicine, 42*, 525–538.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amepre.2012.01.019>
- U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. (2001). *Mental health: Culture, race, and ethnicity—A supplement to Mental health: A report of the Surgeon General* (SMA01-3613). Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, Center for Mental Health Services.

- Walls, M. L., & Whitbeck, L. B. (2012). Advantages of stress process approaches for measuring historical trauma. *American Journal of Drug and Alcohol Abuse*, 38, 416-420. <https://doi.org/10.3109/00952990.2012.694524>
- Walters, K. L., & Simoni, J. M. (2002). Reconceptualizing native women's health: An "indigenist" stress-coping model. *American Journal of Public Health*, 92, 520-524. <https://doi.org/10.2105/AJPH.92.4.520>
- Whitbeck, L. B., Adams, G. W., Hoyt, D. R., & Chen, X. (2004). Conceptualizing and measuring historical trauma among American Indian people. *American Journal of Community Psychology*, 33(3-4), 119-130. <https://doi.org/10.1023/B:AJCP.0000027000.77357.31>
- Whitbeck, L. B., Chen, X., Hoyt, D. R., & Adams, G. W. (2004). Discrimination, historical loss and enculturation: Culturally specific risk and resiliency factors for alcohol abuse among American Indians. *Journal of Studies on Alcohol*, 65(4), 409-418. <https://doi.org/10.15288/jsa.2004.65.409>
- Whitbeck, L. B., McMorris, B. J., Hoyt, D. R., Stubben, J. D., & LaFromboise, T. (2002). Perceived discrimination, traditional practices, and depressive symptoms among American Indians in the upper midwest. *Journal of Health & Social Behavior*, 43, 400-418.
- Woltmann, E., Grogan-Kaylor, A., Perron, B., Georges, H., Kilbourne, A. M., & Bauer, M. S. (2012). Comparative effectiveness of collaborative chronic care models for mental health conditions across primary, specialty, and behavioral health care settings: Systematic review and meta-analysis. *American Journal of Psychiatry*, 169, 790-804. <https://doi.org/10.1176/appi.ajp.2012.11111616>
- Yehuda, R., & Lehrner, A. (2018). Intergenerational transmission of trauma effects: Putative role of epigenetic mechanisms. *World Psychiatry*, 17(3), 243-257. <https://doi.org/10.1002/wps.20568>